

**In the Court of Addl. Sessions Judge-I -cum- Special Judge – SC/ST (PoA) Act**  
**Supaul**

**A.B.A.-253/2026**

**(Arising out of Pipra P.S. Case No.-309/25)**

1. Sikander Yadav  
2. Lal Yadav @ Lal Bahadur Yadav  
3. Bijendra Yadav  
4. Bhushan Yadav  
5. Raushan Yadav @ Raushan Kumar .....Petitioners  
Versus  
State of Bihar .....Opposite Party

22/05/26

An anticipatory bail petition on behalf of accused petitioners Sikander Yadav, Lal Yadav @ Lal Bahadur Yadav, Bijendra Yadav, Bhushan Yadav & Raushan Yadav @ Raushan Kumar , who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Pipra PS Case No.- 309/25, registered u/s 191(2), 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 117(2), 352, 351(2) of BNS, 27 of Arms Act and 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s) of SC/ST (PoA) Act, is fixed today for hearing.

Heard Sri Sudheer Kumar Jha Advocate, learned Counsel for petitioners and Sri Satya Narayan Mehta, learned Special P.P.-SC/ST (PoA) Act for the State.

Prosecution story as per the FIR lodged by informant Shivdutt Paswan, who belongs to scheduled caste, in brief, is that on 12/09/25 at about 3:00 PM, all 15 FIR named accused person including the petitioners, being variously armed, invaded his house. It has been alleged that the accused persons namely Rupesh Yadav, Mukesh Yadav and Ratan Yadav opened fire during the occurrence. It has also been alleged that informant's brother-in-law, Madan Yadav and his sister Lalo Devi sustained injuries during the said occurrence. FIR also contended that accused Sikendra Yadav, along with other accused persons, assaulted the victims by means of *lathi-danda* and sword and also, the accused persons hurled caste based abuses during the occurrence.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are quite innocent and has not committed any offence and have been falsely implicated in the case. It is also submitted that no other bail application on behalf of the petitioners has been filed in any court. He further submits that petitioners Bijendra Yadav and Sikendra Yadav has one criminal history and no any criminal antecedent against others petitioners and alleged offence is not made out against them. It has been placed that instant case is counter-blast of Pipra PS Case No.- 308/25 and several cases are pending between the parties. He further, submits that one Surendra Yadav co-accused of this case filed a case vide Pipra P.S. Case no. 93/25 against informant and others since prior to the alleged occurrence. It is also submitted that Supaul SC/ST P.S. Case no. 15/25 filed by Soni Devi the daughter-in-law of informant and Pipra P.S. Case no. 353/23 filed by Pankaj Kumar Paswan who is son of informant. It is placed that the petitioners are ready to abide by the provisions of section 482 of BNSS, wherefore, it is prayed that

Contd...

22/05/26

Contd...

petitioners be admitted to anticipatory bail.

Learned Special P.P. opposed the prayer of bail and prayed for rejection of the same.

Heard and perused the record including the FIR and case diary. Allegedly, the accused persons including the petitioner caused injuries to the informant and his family members by means of dangerous weapons like gun, sword, *lathi-danda* etc. during the occurrence. It has also been alleged that accused persons hurled caste based abuses during the occurrence. The case diary reflects that informant in his re-statement (para-2) and other witnesses in their respective statements (para-7, 8 & 9) have supported the prosecution versions. It appears that altogether 13 persons were injured in the occurrence. These injury reports reflects that a total of 5 victims sustained grievous injuries on their hands, head and other body parts respectively. Though, para-3 of the bail application reflects petitioners Bijendra Yadav and Sikendra Yadav has one criminal history . Moreover, the case of petitioner is under investigation. Moreover, as per section 18 of the SC & ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, the anticipatory bail is not maintainable in cases pertaining to the Act.

In view of above discussion, this court doesn't find the case of petitioner fit to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail filed on behalf of the petitioners **Sikander Yadav, Lal Yadav @ Lal Bahadur Yadav, Bijendra Yadav, Bhushan Yadav & Raushan Yadav @ Raushan Kumar** stands **Rejected.**

Dictated

Sd/-

(DILIP KUMAR SINGH)

ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I

-cum- SPECIAL JUDGE-SC/ST ACT

SUPAUL