

**IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
SITAMARHI.
B.P No. 462/26.**

Present:- Akhilesh Kumar Jha, Principal District & Sessions Judge,

In the matter of :-

**Manoj Kumar Singh S/o Harilal Singh & Another R/o Kahairwi, P.S-Bathnaha,
Distt.Sitamarhi.....Petitioners**

V/S

State of Bihar.....Opposite Party.

Appearance:

For the petitioners:- Sri Shiv Shankar Singh, Advocate.

For the State:- Sri Prafulla Kumar Jha, I/C P.P.

Date of order or proceeding.	Order with the signature of the court.	Office action taken with date.
05.06.2026.	This bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner namely, 1. Manoj Kumar Singh, 2. Anita Devi who are in custody since 21.03.2026 in connection with Bathnaha PS Case No. 187/26 registered u/ss: 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 351(2), 351(3), 3(5) BNS. 2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned I/C PP for the State. 3. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioners are quite innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. All the allegations levelled against the petitioners are false and baseless. The petitioner Manoj Kumar Singh has criminal antecedent of one case and the petitioner Anita Devi has no criminal antecedent. The petitioners are in custody since 21.3.2026. On these grounds, the learned counsel prayed for bail to the petitioners. 4. On the other hand, the learned I/C PP has opposed the prayer for bail. The learned I/C PP further submitted that there is direct allegation against the petitioner Manoj Kumar Singh that he inflicted chhura blow to the informant on his head and neck and there is direct allegation against the petitioner no. 2 Anita Devi that she inflicted fighter blow to the informant. During investigation, in para 12, 29, 31 and 32 of case diary, informant and witness have supported the prosecution's case. The	

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
SITAMARHI.
B.P No. 462/26.

Contd.
05.06.2026.


learned I/C PP further submitted that as per injury report, the nature of injury sustained by the informant, has been opined by doctor "may be dangerous to life". Investigation against the petitioners is still going on.

5. The prosecution case, in short, as per Fard-beyan of the informant namely, Sanjit Ray is that on 20.04.2026 at 7.00 AM, when the informant went to saloon of Bhajan Thakur, for shave, due to previous enmity, the accused Manoj Kumar Singh gave knife blows on informant's head and neck and Anita Devi assaulted him with fighter. He sustained cut injury on head and neck and blood started oozing from wounds and he was taken to Sadar hospital Sitamarhi for treatment.

6. Perusal of record shows that the petitioners are named in the FIR. There is direct allegation against Manoj Kumar Singh that he gave knife blows to the informant on his head and neck and Anita Devi assaulted the informant with fighter. As per injury report of informant, he sustained three incised wounds, in occipital region, neck and cheek, caused by sharp cutting object. Nature of injury no. 02 has been opined by doctor "may be dangerous to life". Investigation of the case is still going on.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts & circumstances of the case, seriousness of allegation and gravity of offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, their prayer for bail is hereby rejected.

(Dictated)


Principal Sessions Judge,

Sitamarhi.

05.06.2026.

Date of Judgment /Order	05.06.2026.
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	05.06.2026.
Uploading Date	09/6/2026

Uploaded by

Purushottam Kumar