

In the Court of the District & Addl. Sessions Judge I, Sitamarhi.
Bail Petition No. 322/2026

Suman Thakur..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Bihar O.P.

Page No.-1

ORDER

07.04.2026

1 Heard the learned counsel, for the petitioner, **Suman Thakur**, who is in custody since, 05.10.2025, in connection with Runni Saidpur P.S. Case No. 16/2023, registered u/s. Sections – 394 I.P.C. Also heard the learned Addl. P.P. for the State and perused the record and the case-diary.

2. Prosecution Story:- That the present case was instituted on the basis of a typewritten application submitted by the informant, Sub-Inspector Praveen Kumar Runni of Runnisaidpur Police Station. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 11.01.2023 at about 6:45 P.M., during evening patrol near Mahesaul Chowk, the police received secret information that some suspicious persons had assembled near the new pond at village Baghadi and were planning to commit a crime. Acting on the information, the police party proceeded to the spot where, on seeing the police vehicle, five persons riding on two motorcycles attempted to flee. Three persons fell from one motorcycle and were apprehended on the spot, while two persons, namely Suman Thakur (applicant) and one Abhishek, managed to escape. During search of the apprehended persons, one motorcycle, one mobile phone, and two sharp knives were recovered and seized in presence of independent witnesses. The arrested persons disclosed during interrogation that the recovered motorcycle and mobile phone were stolen and that they had assembled for committing robbery.

3. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. No incriminating article has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. The name of the petitioner has transpired only on the basis of alleged confessional statement of co-accused. It is further submitted that co-accused Avinash Kumar has already been granted bail by the learned Sessions Court. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 05.10.2025 and there is no likelihood of tampering with the prosecution evidence.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposed the prayer for bail.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, period of custody, absence of recovery from the petitioner, and parity with co-accused who has already been granted bail, this Court is inclined to allow the prayer for bail.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner **Suman Thakur** is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of the learned Court

In the Court of the District & Addl. Sessions Judge I, Sitamarhi.
Bail Petition No. 322/2026

Suman Thakur..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Bihar O.P.

Page No.-2

below, Sitamarhi, in connection with Runnisaidpur P.S. Case No. 16/2023, subject to the following conditions: That the petitioner shall remain physically present before the Court on each date till framing of charge further subject to the conditions as laid down u/s. 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Dictated & corrected)

Sd/-

(Rajiv Kumar),

District & Addl. Sessions Judge 1,

Sitamarhi.

Dated:- 07.04.2026.