

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
SITAMARHI.

Dated, Sitamarhi, the 22nd April, 2026.

Present:- Akhilesh Kumar Jha, Principal District & Sessions Judge,
Sitamarhi.

B.P No. 279/26.

In the matter of :-

Navin Kumar Mishra, S/O Late Kalika Mishra, R/O Gamhariya, PS- Patahi,
Distt. Motihari.....Petitioner.

V/S

State of Bihar.....Opposite Party.

Appearance:

Sri Sanjay Kumar Jha, Advocate for petitioner along with petitioner from J/C

Sri Prafulla Kumar Jha, I/C P.P. for the State

Sri Sanjay Kumar Jha, Advocate for complainant Asha Devi along with complainant
and her daughter Sunita Kumari

Date of order or proceeding.	Order with the signature of the court.	Office action taken with date.
22.04.2026.	1. This bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner namely, Navin Kumar Mishra who is in custody since 17.02.2026 in connection with CI Case No. 1208/2023 registered u/s: 498(A) of IPC & 3/4 of D.P. Act. 2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the case. The petitioner never subjected the complainant's daughter to cruelty and harassment and he never demanded any dowry from her. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent. He is in custody since 17.02.2026. Further submitted that the petitioner is ready to keep complainant's daughter with him with full dignity. On these grounds, the learned counsel prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner. The petitioner has also been produced from custody and he also submitted that he is ready to keep his wife Sunita Kumari with him and will not subject her to cruelty and keep her with full dignity. 3. On the other hand, complainant and her	

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
SITAMARHI.

Dated, Sitamarhi, the 22nd April, 2026.

Present:- Akhilesh Kumar Jha, Principal District & Sessions Judge,
Sitamarhi.

B.P No. 279/26.

Contd.

22.04.2026.

daughter appeared along with their counsels and they submitted that the complainant's daughter is not ready to live with the petitioner as petitioner subjected her cruelty and harassment.

4. Heard both sides and perused the record.

5. The prosecution's case in nutshell is that complainant's daughter, namely, Sunita Kumari Mishra married with petitioner Navin Kumar on 10.12.2020 according to Hindu Rites and Rituals. On the day of marriage some dispute occurred between both the parties on account of demand of dowry.

6. The reconciliation effort was made by this court between the parties, however, same failed. But during course of reconciliation, both the parties (petitioner and Sunita Kumari) agreed to get separated from each other and they decided that towards one time settlement of alimony, the petitioner will pay Rs. 3,25,000/- within one year from today. The petitioner also filed an undertaking and affidavit to the fact that he would pay Rs. 3,25,000/- to the complainant's daughter within one year and in case of his failure to make payment of the said amount, his bail bonds would be liable to be cancelled.

7. In view of above settlement between the parties, learned counsel for complainant submitted that as both the parties have settled their disputes, the complainant has no objection, if petitioner is granted bail, subject to condition that he would pay Rs. 3,25,000/- to the complainant's daughter in installments, within one year.

A

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
SITAMARHI.

Dated, Sitamarhi, the 22nd April, 2026.

Present:- Akhilesh Kumar Jha, Principal District & Sessions Judge,
Sitamarhi.

B.P No. 279/26.

Contd.
22.04.2026.

8. The petitioner and his learned counsels also submitted that after release from custody, within a month he would pay minimum Rs. 30,000/- to complainant's daughter towards first installment of settlement amount and also try to pay her complete settlement amount within a year, in installments.

9. In view of the settlement between the parties learned I/C PP does not oppose the bail of the petitioner.

10. Considering the aforesaid facts & circumstances of the case and settlement between the parties, the bail of the petitioner is allowed. The petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Court where the case is presently pending further subject to the conditions as laid down u/s: 480(3) BNSS.

(Dictated)


Principal Sessions Judge,
Sitamarhi.
22.04.2026.

Date of Judgment /Order	22.04.2026.
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	22.04.2026.
Uploading Date	24/04/2026
Uploaded by	Akhilesh Kumar