

**In the Court of the District & Addl. Sessions Judge I, Sitamarhi.  
Bail Petition No. 259/2026**

**Nehal Ahmad**..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Bihar ..... O.P.

**Page No.-1**

**ORDER**

**15.04.2026.**

1 The present bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused **Nehal Ahmed** in connection with Pupri P.S. Case No. 423/2022, registered under Section 379 IPC, which is pending for trial before this Court.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State. Case diary and materials available on record have been perused.

2 Prosecution Case:- The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 01.11.2022 at about 13:10 hours, the informant had parked his Honda Sign Motorcycle bearing Registration No. BR30-12385 near Lohia Bhawan, Patna Hospital for the purpose of ultrasound treatment of his sister. When the informant returned after approximately 10 minutes, he found that his motorcycle was missing from the place where it had been parked. It is further alleged that upon checking the CCTV footage installed at the hospital premises, the present accused Nehal Ahmed was seen moving in suspicious circumstances and was found assisting in the commission of theft. The CCTV footage shows the accused coordinating with co-accused persons and thereafter fleeing from the spot. During the course of investigation, the stolen motorcycle bearing Registration No. BR30-12385 was recovered, and the involvement of the present accused Nehal Ahmed surfaced in connection with the theft and recovery of the stolen property. Accordingly, the case was registered under Section 379 IPC against the accused persons.

3. Submission of the Petitioner:- Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case due to village rivalry. It is further submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent and is in **judicial custody since 13.02.2026**. It is also submitted that the petitioner is a driver by profession and is ready to furnish adequate bail bonds and sureties as directed by the Court. It has also been submitted that an earlier bail application of the petitioner was dismissed on 19.02.2026 by this Court.

4. Submission of the State:- Learned A.P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is serious in nature. The CCTV footage clearly shows the involvement of the petitioner, and the stolen motorcycle has been recovered during investigation, thereby strengthening the prosecution case. It is further submitted that considering the nature of accusation

**In the Court of the District & Addl. Sessions Judge I, Sitamarhi.  
Bail Petition No.259/2026**

**Nehal Ahmad**..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Bihar ..... O.P.

**Page No.-2**

and the materials collected during investigation, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail at this stage.

5. Findings:- Upon careful consideration of the submissions made on behalf of both sides and on perusal of the case diary, it appears that: There are specific allegations against the accused Nehal Ahmed regarding his involvement in the theft of the motorcycle. Para 2, 5 and 6 transpires when informant checked the CCTV footage of the centre and saw an unknown boy arriving in a Scorpio vehicle and stealing his motorcycle. Upon inquiry, a woman had been brought to the hospital in the Scorpio, and she stated that the driver's name was Nihal, CCTV footage collected during investigation prima facie indicates the presence and participation of the accused in the occurrence. The stolen motorcycle bearing Registration No. BR30-12385 has been recovered, which lends support to the prosecution case. The earlier bail application of the petitioner has already been rejected by this Court on 19.02.2026, and no substantial change in circumstance has been shown since then.

6. Considering the nature of accusation, supporting electronic evidence, and recovery of stolen property, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage. In view of the facts and circumstances stated above and considering the seriousness of the allegations along with the recovery of stolen motorcycle and CCTV evidence, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner Nehal Ahmed on bail at this stage. Accordingly, the bail application of accused Nehal Ahmed is hereby **rejected**. However, it is directed that the petitioner shall be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after six months or earlier, if the charge is not framed or trial is not concluded within the said period.

(Dictated & corrected)

Sd/-

(Rajiv Kumar),

District & Addl. Sessions Judge 1,  
Sitamarhi.

Dated:- 15.04.2026.