

In the Court of Principal District & Sessions Judge, Sitamarhi.

Dated, Sitamarhi, the 13th April, 2026.

Present :- Akhilesh Kumar Jha, Principal District & Sessions Judge,
Sitamarhi.

A.B.P No:- 73/2026

In the matter of :-

Sant Ram S/O Late Nanu Ram & Anr, R/O Birakh, PS- Sursand,
Distt. Sitamarhi.....Petitioner.

V/S

State of Bihar.....Opposite
Party.

Appearance:

For the petitioner:- Sri Randhir Kumar Verma, Advocate.

For the State:- Sri Prafulla Kumar Jha, I/C P.P.

Date of order or proceeding.	Order with the signature of the court.	Office action taken with date.
<u>13.04.2026</u>	<p>This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioners namely, 1. Sant Ram and 2. Ramkumari Devi who are apprehending their arrest in connection with CI-6/24 registered u/ss: 323,498A IPC.</p> <p>2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned I/C PP for the State.</p> <p>3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are father-in-law and mother-in-law of the complainant. Allegations against the petitioners are vague and general. Petitioners have committed no offence. Further submitted that after marriage complainant was residing with her husband Amit Kumar, co-accused of this case independently. After marriage differences arose between the complainant and her husband. The petitioners and members of society tried to settle the matter, in this regard panchayati was held and complainant and her husband got separated and returned articles belonging to each other and the complainant intentionally has not disclosed the said fact in the complaint petition. There is no injury report of complainant to support allegation of assault. The</p>	

petitioners never interfered in the matrimonial life of their. Complainant does not want to live with their son. Earlier matter was referred to mediation but complainant did not appear there. On these grounds, the learned counsel prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. On the other hand, the learned I/C PP submitted that petitioners have been made accused in the complaint petition and the learned Magistrate after finding prima-facie material took cognizance of offence u/ss: 323,498A IPC against them, therefore, their anticipatory bail petition is liable to be rejected.

5. Heard both sides and perused the record.

6. The case of complainant as per CI-6/24 filed by the complainant namely, Ruby Kumari is that her marriage was solemnized with Amit Kumar on 26.2.2023 as per Hindu rites and rituals and thereafter she was being subjected to cruelty by the accused persons due to non-fulfillment of demand of a motorcycle and cash of Rs. fifty thousand. Ultimately, on 12.12.2023 the accused persons committed mar-pit with her and ousted her from the matrimonial home.

7. Perusal of record shows that the petitioners are in-laws of the complainant. There is no specific allegation against them. Both sides submitted that there is no injury report of complainant to support the allegation of assault. The offence u/ss: 323,498A IPC is punishable less than 7 years of imprisonment.

8. The petitioners were granted interim anticipatory bail by learned predecessor of this Court vide order dated 30.01.26. There is nothing on record that petitioners have misused the liberty. Punishment provided for the offences u/ss: 323,498A IPC are punishable with less than 7 years of imprisonment. In view of the above interim anticipatory bail order dated 30.01.26 is hereby confirmed subject to same

Contd.
13.04.2026

Terms and conditions and further condition as per
conditions u/s: 482(2)(ii)(iii)(iv) BNSS.
(Dictated)

^A
Principal Sessions Judge,
Sitamarhi.
13.04.2026.

Date of Judgment /Order 13.04.2026.

Date of Reserving Judgment/Order 13.04.2026.

Uploading Date 17/04/2026

Uploaded by Prashant Kumar