

In the Court of Mirtunjai Singh, 1st Addl. Sessions Judge, Saran.
ABP No. 4784/2025 (Daudpur P.S. case no. 25/2016)
Guddu Yadav Versus State of Bihar.
O R D E R

18.3.2026

Heard learned counsel for petitioner as well as learned P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant on anticipatory bail petition of petitioners namely 1. Guddu Yadav who is apprehending his arrest in the above referred case registered u/s 341, 323, 498(A) of I.P.C. and 3/4 of D.P. Act.

FIR of this case has been registered on the basis of complaint petition of the informant namely Chanda Devi that she was married with this petitioner in the year 2012 as per Hindu rituals and after some time of marriage, the petitioner along with other accused persons of this case started demanding a motorcycle in dowry and on non fulfillment of that they used to torture her by various ways and ousted her from her matrimonial house. The petitioner once again took the informant to his house after intervention of well wishers but after some time, he again started torturing her and ousted her for non fulfillment of demand of motorcycle. It is further alleged that the petitioner and others threatened the informant for second marriage of the petitioner.

It is submitted on behalf of learned counsel for petitioner that he is innocent, he has not committed any offence, he has been falsely implicated in this case due to suspicion, petitioner is the husband of informant, it is the informant who does not want to live with the petitioner, the petitioner and other accused persons of this case have been given liberty under the provision of 41(i)(a) of Cr.P.C., no any regular or anticipatory bail petition has been filed by the petitioner in any court of law, he has got clean antecedent, he is ready to furnish sureties as required by the Court. Therefore, it is prayed that the petitioner may be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

The learned P.P. opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Perused materials available on record and from perusal of the same, it is found that accused petitioner is the husband of informant. Petitioner is the husband of informant and there is matrimonial dispute between the parties. The petitioner and other accused persons of this case have been given liberty under the provision of 41(i)(a) of Cr.P.C., Accordingly, this anticipatory bail petition is not maintainable. At para no. 3 of the bail petition, it has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that he has got clean antecedent.

In the Court of Mirtunjai Singh, 1st Addl. Sessions Judge, Saran.
ABP No. 4784/2025 (Daudpur P.S. case no. 25/2016)
Guddu Yadav Versus State of Bihar.
O R D E R

Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and also for the reasons discussed above, the learned court concerned is directed to pass order in this case without being prejudiced with the order of this court. With this finding, this anticipatory bail petition is disposed of.

(Dictated)

(Mirtunjai Singh)
1st Addl. Sessions Judge, Saran.