



In the Court of **Puneet Kumar Garg**, Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 4546 of 2026 (Sonepur P.S. Case No. 1084/2025)
Chhotu Kumar & Ors. V/s State of Bihar

ORDER

S.No.	Date	Contents	Remarks
01	20.06.2026	1. Heard learned counsel representing the petitioners-accused as well as learned Public Prosecutor for the State on anticipatory bail petition of petitioners-accused, namely, i. Chhotu Kumar, ii. Avinash Kumar @ Abhinash Kumar and iii. Devanand Paswan, apprehending their arrest in this Sonepur P.S. Case No. 1084/2025, registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 352, 351(2) & 303(2) r/w 3(5) of the BNS, pending in the Court of learned CJM, Saran at Chapra. 2. FIR of this case has been registered on the basis of a typed application of the informant, namely, Akash Kumar, stating that on 03.11.2025 at about 9:00 A.M., while he was cleaning his <i>e-rickshaw/toto</i> vehicle, in the meantime, the petitioners-accused came there and started abusing him. It is alleged that the petitioners-accused, Devanand Paswan and Chhotu Kumar were armed with swords. When the informant tried to flee, petitioner-accused, Devanand Paswan, allegedly exhorted the others to kill him. Thereafter, the petitioner-accused, Abhinash Kumar allegedly caught hold of the informant, and the petitioners-accused, Devanand Paswan and Chhotu Kumar assaulted him with swords with the intention to kill. The informant sustained a head injury while attempting to ward off the blows. After he fell down, the petitioner-accused, Abhinash Kumar allegedly assaulted him with a hockey stick. Taking advantage of his condition, the petitioner-accused, Chhotu Kumar allegedly snatched the informant's gold chain and fled away along with the other accused. On hearing his alarm, local persons arrived and the informant was taken to <i>Referral Hospital, Sonepur</i>, from where he was referred to <i>PMCH, Patna</i>. 3. It is asserted on behalf of learned counsel representing the petitioners-accused that they are quite innocent and have not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. Next added that earlier no any regular or anticipatory bail petition has been filed or moved on behalf of the petitioners-accused prior to this either in this Court or Hon'ble High Court Patna, in connection with the present case. Next added that the petitioners-accused have got clean antecedent, except this one. Further contended that all the allegations levelled against the petitioners-accused are false, baseless and concocted. Next added that there is no evidence against the petitioners-accused to show that they are involved in this case. Next contented that false case has been registered by the informant. Further submitted that the petitioners-accused are ready to furnish sureties as required by the Court. Therefore, it is prayed that the petitioners-accused may be enlarged on anticipatory bail. 4. Learned Public Prosecutor opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners-accused. 5. Heard both the sides and perused the materials available on record including the case diary. From the same, it appears that the petitioners-accused is specifically named in the F.I.R. The allegations disclose that the petitioners-accused, allegedly assaulted the informant with deadly weapons with an intention to kill him. Furthermore, the injury report indicates that the informant sustained injury on the vital	

BRSR010141882025



In the Court of **Puneet Kumar Garg**, Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 4546 of 2026 (Sonepur P.S. Case No. 1084/2025)
Chhotu Kumar & Ors. V/s State of Bihar

ORDER

		part of the body, thereby lending <i>prima facie</i> corroboration to the prosecution version regarding the manner of assault. The allegations disclose a serious and violent attack resulting in hospitalization of the injured. Moreover, the investigation is still pending and exact role of the petitioners-accused are yet to be fully investigated. Granting bail at this stage may adversely affect the course of investigation. 6. Hence, considering the seriousness of the offence, the manner of commission of the offence, nature of injury, and the reasons mentioned herein-above, the petitioners-accused are not entitled to get anticipatory bail. Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners-accused, namely, <i>i. Chhotu Kumar, ii. Avinash Kumar @ Abhinash Kumar and iii. Devanand Paswan</i>, is hereby rejected. [Dictated] (Puneet Kumar Garg), Sessions Judg, Saran 20.06.2026	