

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IV, SARAN (CHAPRA)
Anticipatory Bail Petition No.- 281/2026
(Garkha P.S. Case No.- 73 of 2017)

Pawan Kumar Singh & Other.....Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of BiharProsecution

	Order	
07.03.2026	1. This anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf on the accused petitioners namely Pawan Kumar Singh, s/o Rajani Kant Singh, 2. Anisa Kumari, D/o Rajani Kant Singh who apprehending their arrest in connection with Garkha P.S. Case No.-73 of 2017, registered u/s 302/34 of I.P.C which is pending in the Ld.court of Sri Anup Rawat, J.M. 1st Class, Saran at Chapra. Copy of the petition has been served to the learned P.P. 2. The case of the prosecution in nut-shell as per Ferdbeyan of the informant, Sima Devi is that on 27.02.2017 at about 07:00 A.M., Due the dispute of share in house, 1. Rajnikant Singh, 2. Deji Devi, 3. Pawan Kumar, 4. Anisa Kumari, all the accused with common intention assaulted the husband of the informant namely Shashi Kant Singh by Lathi and brick due to which, he got injuries and became unconscious who was taken to P.H.C., Garkha where he was declared dead by the Doctor. 3. The learned counsel on behalf of the petitioners submitted no B.P or A.B.P has been filed on behalf of petitioners in this case either in this court or on Hon'ble Patna High Court. That the petitioners have got no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case due to family partition dispute which is also admitted in the F.I.R. That in the whole F.I.R, absolutely there is no allegation of any specific over-tact against any of the accused. It is further submitted that the Doctor who conducted the Postmortem Examination on the dead body of the deceased has opined the cause of death to be carcinogenic shock, which create serious doubt regarding the false implication of the petitioners in this case. That one of the co-accused namely Rajnikant Singh who is the husband of the petitioner has not found guilty for the offence of murder of the informant's husband vide Judgment and Order dt. 22.07.2023 passed in S.Tr. No. 456/17 by the Ld. A.D.J-IV, Saran. It is also submitted that there is no chance of petitioner's absconding or tempering with the prosecution evidence. That the petitioners are ready to furnish bail bonds to the satisfaction of the court. Hence, he prayed to enlarge the petitioners on	cont.

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IV, SARAN (CHAPRA)
Anticipatory Bail Petition No.- 281/2026
(Garkha P.S. Case No.- 73 of 2017)

Pawan Kumar Singh & Other.....Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of BiharProsecution

continued.. 07.03.2026	bail. 4. Learned PP oppose the bail petition vehemently. 5. Heard learned counsel on behalf of the accused petitioners and learned P.P. for the state, perused the record and case diary along with in original record of Session Trial No.-456/17. On perusal of F.I.R and Case diary para 2, 6, 8, 9 and 20, there is allegation against the accused petitioner to assault the informant's husband by lathi and bricks and as per Postmortem report, cause of death is 'cardiogenic shock induced by antemortem injuries caused by hard and blunt substance'. On perusal of supplementary case diary para-202, the accused petitioners are still absconding and not appearing before the investigating officer for further investigation regarding this case. 6. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the court reaches on conclusion, the accused petitioners are not entitled for grant anticipatory bail. Therefore, the anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of accused petitioners namely 1. Pawan Kumar Singh and 2. Anisa Kumari is hereby rejected. (Dictated and corrected by me) Sd/- (Raghvendra Vikram Singh Parmar) Additional Sessions Judge-IV Saran at Chapra 07.03.2026	