

In the Court of Puneet Kumar Garg, Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra.
ABP No. 738/2026(Awtarnagar P.S. case no. 36/2026)

Amrit Kumar & other Versus State of Bihar.

Order

S.No.	Date	Contents	Remarks
01	7.3.2026	<p>Heard learned counsel for petitioners as well as learned P.P. for the State on anticipatory bail petition of petitioners namely 1. Ashok Kumar, 2. Sunil Kumar, 3. Indu Devi, 4. Amit Kumar, 5. Akhilesh Kumar, 6. Gulabchand who are apprehending their arrest in tin the above referred case registered u/s 126(2), 115(2), 109, 351(2), (3), 352, 324(2), 3(5) of BNS.</p> <p>FIR of this case has been registered on the basis of written application of the informant Lalita Devi and on 9.11.2025, all the accused petitioners came at the house of the informant and abused her, on objection, they assaulted her with rod due to which she became injured and when her family members came for her rescue, they were also assaulted by the accused persons. Accused persons have also snatched gold jewelry from the inmates of the informant.</p> <p>It is submitted on behalf of learned counsel for petitioners that they are innocent, they have not committed any offence and they have been falsely implicated in this case due to suspicion, there is case and counter case between the parties, there is omnibus and general allegation against the petitioners, except section 109 of BNS other sections are bailable, no any regular or anticipatory bail petition has been filed by the petitioners in any Court of law, petitioners have got clean antecedent, and they are ready to furnish sureties as required by the Court. Therefore, it is prayed that the petitioners may be enlarged on anticipatory bail.</p> <p>The learned P.P. opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.</p> <p>Perused materials available on record and from perusal of the same, it is found that there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners that they have assaulted and others. There is case and counter case between the parties. On perusal of injury reports it is noted that so many persons have been made injured in this case from the informant side but it has also been noted that all the injuries were caused due to hard and blunt substance and the doctor has opined the injuries as simple in nautre. Independet witnesses at para no.29 and 30</p>	No

of case diary have stated that the occurrence took place due to a petty matter and both sides have been made injured in this case and others have implicated with a view to implicate them falsely. At para no. 3 of the bail petition, the learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have got clean antecedent.

Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, I think it proper to enlarge accused petitioners on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of this order, both the above named accused petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned subject to condition laid down u/s 482(3) of BNSS with further condition that

(I) They shall make themselves available for interrogation by I.O. of this case as and when required

(II) that the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to the I.O. of the case.

(III) that the petitioners shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court

(IV) petitioners are directed to co-operate in trial and disposal of the case.

[Dictated]

I/C Sessions Judge, Saran.