

In the Court of Mirtunjai Singh<sup>1st</sup> Addl. Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra.  
A.B.P. no. 3823/2025 (Chapra Mufassil P.S. case no. 509/2025)  
Manoj Manjhi & others versus State of Bihar.  
O R D E R

9.3.2026

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Addl. P.P. for the State on anticipatory bail petition of petitioners namely 1. Manoj Manjhi, and 2. Dhiraj Manjhi alias Dhiraj Kumar Manjhi who are apprehending their arrest in the above referred case registered u/s 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 303(2), 76, 351, 352 of BNS.

FIR of this case has been registered on the basis of written application of informant Kavita Devi complaint petition of the complainant that on 4.9.2025 at about 4 O'clock of evening, both the accused petitioners entered into the house of the informant, abused and threatened her. Petitioner no. 1 assaulted with gadasi due to which the informant received injury on her neck eye and petitioner no. 2 snatched her jewelery and both of them threatened her.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that they are innocent and they are not committed any offence and they have been falsely implicated in this case due to suspicion and pattidari dispute, no any regular or anticipatory bail petition has been filed by the petitioners in any court of law, they are not named accused in any other case, and they are ready to furnish sureties as required by the Court. Therefore, it is prayed that the petitioners may be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer for bail.

Perused the record and on perusal of the same, it is found that there is general and omnibus allegation against the accused petitioners that they have assaulted to the informant and snatched her jeweleries. On perusal of injury report, it is noted that the witnesses in their statement recorded u/s 161 of Cr.P.C. have supported the occurrence. But no any injury report is available in the case diary. At para no. 3 of the bail petition, it has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that accused petitioners are not named accused in any other case.

Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, clean antecedents of the petitioners and also for the reasons discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge accused petitioners on anticipatory bail. In the event of their arrest or surrender within one month from receipt of this order, the petitioners ordered to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court concerned subject to condition laid down u/s 482(2) of BNSS.

(Dictated)

(Mirtunjai Singh)  
1<sup>st</sup> Addl. Sessions Judge, Saran.