



IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IV, SARAN (CHAPRA)

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.- 2740/2026

(Arising out of Manjhi P.S. Case No.- 296 of 2026)

Amit Kumar and ors.....Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of BiharProsecution

	Order	
16.07.2026	1. This anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf on the accused petitioner namely (1.)Amit Kumar, (2.)Sweta Devi, (3.) Ajit Kumar, (4.) Sheo Kumari, (5.)Mamta Devi and (6.) Mamta Devi who apprehending their arrest in connection with Manjhi P.S. Case No.- 296 of 2026 registered u/s 115(2), 126(2), 109, 118(1), 351(2), 352, 3(5) of B.N.S. I.P.C. Copy of the petition has been served to the learned P.P. 2. The case of the prosecution in nut-shell as per written application of the informant, namely Ramavati Devi is that the accused petitioners namely Ajit Kumar, Amit Kumar, Sheo Kumari, Mamta Devi, Sweta Devi and Mamta Devi have forcefully occupied the informant's land. When the informant asked them to vacate his land, they brutally beat up the informant and informant's son. The accused petitioners struck the informant's son on the head with a stick (lathi) with the intention to kill him, causing a severe head injury. While the informant was sitting on his land, both brothers, who were under the influence of alcohol, attacked the informant's son with the intent to kill. All individuals including the four women whose names the informant has mentioned, beat the informant with sticks and bricks. 3. The learned counsel on behalf of the petitioner submitted that That earlier, no B.P. or A.B.P has been filed or moved either in this court or in the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. That the petitioners have got no criminal antecedent. That the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. That the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. That there is land dispute between the parties which is admitted in the F.I.R itself. That the petitioners have been wrongly and falsely implicated in this case due to counter case. That in the revenge of counter case this case has been lodged to put pressure upon the petitioners. That both parties have been compromised this case. That the petitioners are ready to obey all the directions laid down U/s 482 B.N.S.S proviso. That the petitioners are men of means and is ready to furnish bail bond as to the satisfaction of this court. Hence, he prayed to enlarge the petitioner on bail. 4. On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor representing the state vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the accused petitioner. 5. Heard learned counsel on behalf of the accused petitioner and Learned P.P. for the	cont.



IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IV, SARAN (CHAPRA)

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.- 2740/2026

(Arising out of Manjhi P.S. Case No.- 296 of 2026)

Amit Kumar and ors.....Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of BiharProsecution

continued 16.07.2026	state, perused the record and case diary .On perusal of Case diary Para 24, there is no criminal antecedent against the accused petitioners. As per case diary Para no. 39, the injury received by injured is reported as simple in nature. 6. In facts and circumstances deliberated above, learned court below is directed to admit to bail the petitioners namely (1.)Amit Kumar, (2.)Sweta Devi, (3.) Ajit Kumar, (4.) Sheo Kumari, (5.)Mamta Devi and (6.) Mamta Devi on furnishing bail bond of each Rs. 10,000/- with two solvent sureties of like amount to the satisfaction of learned court below if they surrender before it within a period of 30 days from the date of this order subject to the conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of Cr.PC. (Dictated and corrected by me) Additional Sessions Judge-IV Saran at Chapra 16.07.2026	