



In the Court of **Puneet Kumar Garg**, Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra
Bail Petition No. 1189 of 2026 (Saran Cyber P.S. Case No. 60/2024)

Nitish Kumar V/s State of Bihar

ORDER

S.No.	Date	Contents	Remarks
01	22.07.2026	1. Heard learned counsel representing the petitioner-accused and learned Public Prosecutor representing the State on bail petition of petitioner-accused, namely, Nitish Kumar, who has been in judicial custody since 13.07.2024 in this Saran Cyber P.S. Case No. 60/2024, registered under Sections 419 & 420 r/w 34 of the IPC a/w 66(C) & 66(D) of I.T. Act. 2. FIR of this case has been instituted on the basis of a typed application of the informant namely, <i>Maheshwar Prasad Chaudhary</i>, alleging that on 13.03.2024 at about 03:34 P.M., he received a WhatsApp voice call from mobile no. 923314642962 on his mobile no. 9431216923. The caller falsely introduced himself as a CBI Officer from Delhi and informed him that his son had been arrested. During the call, the informant was made to hear a crying voice purportedly of his son, who was pleading to be saved, due to which the informant became frightened and, under the pressure and threats of the fraudsters, transferred a total sum of Rs. 6,50,000/- through different bank accounts and mobile transactions on their instructions. Thereafter, on contacting his son, the informant came to know that his son was safe and no such incident had occurred. Alleging that he had been cheated by the unknown persons through a cyber fraud, the informant lodged the present FIR. 3. Learned counsel for the petitioner-accused submitted that the petitioner-accused is quite innocent who committed no offence. The petitioner-accused has got clean antecedent, except this one. Next asserted that earlier one BP No. 1427/2024 and one Criminal Misc. No. 85276/2024 filed on behalf of the petitioner-accused were rejected by this Court and Hon'ble Patna High Court <i>vide</i> orders dated 27.08.2024 and 06.08.2025 respectively and except those, no any regular or anticipatory bail petition has been filed or moved on behalf of the petitioner-accused either in this Court or any Court of law in connection with the present case. The petitioner-accused has been in judicial custody since 13.07.2024. Further contended that the petitioner-accused has falsely and wrongly been implicated in this false case. Next argued that Criminal Misc. No. 85276/2024 filed on behalf of the petitioner-accused was rejected by the Hon'ble Patna High Court <i>vide</i> order dated 06.08.2025 with an observation that the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge. Next added that since the charges have been framed in the case on 12.06.2026, the petitioner-accused has renewed his prayer for bail. Next submitted that the petitioner-accused is ready to furnish sureties as required by the Court. Therefore, it is prayed that the petitioner-accused may be enlarged on bail. 4. <i>Per contra</i>, learned Public Prosecutor representing the State vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner-accused. 5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record. It appears that the earlier bail petition of the petitioner-accused was rejected by the Hon'ble Patna High Court with liberty to renew the prayer for bail after framing of charge. It further appears from the record that charges have already been framed in the present case on 12.06.2026. Thus, the	



In the Court of **Puneet Kumar Garg**, Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra
Bail Petition No. 1189 of 2026 (Saran Cyber P.S. Case No. 60/2024)

Nitish Kumar V/s State of Bihar

ORDER

		circumstance on the basis of which liberty was granted by the Hon'ble High Court now stands fulfilled. 6. Considering the period of judicial custody, absence of criminal antecedent, the stage of the trial and the facts and circumstances of the case, and the reasons mentioned herein-above, this Court is inclined to allow the present bail petition. 7. Accordingly, the petitioner-accused, namely, Nitish Kumar, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 100,000/- (Rupees One Lakh) <u>with two sureties</u> of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court concerned, subject to the conditions as prescribed under Section 483 of the BNSS :- (I) That the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by I.O. as and when required, (II) That the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to the I.O. of the case, (III) That the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court, (IV) That the petitioner is directed to co-operate in trial and disposal of the case. (V) That the <u>Bailors</u> must be the <u>close-relatives</u> of the petitioner. [Dictated] (Puneet Kumar Garg), Sessions Judge, Saran 22.07.2026	