



In the Court of **PUNEET KUMAR GARG**, Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra
Bail Petition No. 1188 of 2026 (Sonepur P.S. Case No. 383/2026)
Swati Kumari V/s State of Bihar

ORDER

S.No.	Date	Contents	Remarks
01	02.07.2026	1. Heard learned counsel representing the petitioner-accused as well as learned Public Prosecutor representing the State on bail petition of petitioner-accused, namely, Swati Kumari, who has been in judicial custody since 17.04.2026 in this Sonepur P.S. Case No. 383/2026, registered under Section 103(1) r/w 3(5) of the BNS, pending in the Court of learned CJM, Saran at Chapra. 2. FIR of this case has been registered on the basis of a typed application of the informant, namely, <i>Raju Rai</i>, stating that his son, <i>Vishal Kumar</i>, left his house for some personal work. At about 01:30 P.M., the informant received information through a chain of phone calls that <i>Vishal Kumar</i> was in a critical condition at <i>Muslim Hotel, Sonepur</i>. Upon reaching the hotel, the informant found his son lying in an unconscious condition. It is alleged that <i>Swati Kumari</i> was present there along with <i>Aman Kumar, Pappu Kumar, Alok Kumar</i> and some other persons. Thereafter, the injured was taken to <i>Sonepur Referral Hospital</i> and was subsequently referred to <i>Sadar Hospital, Hajipur</i>, where he was declared dead by the doctors. The informant alleged that he noticed injury marks on the neck and back of the deceased and suspected that his son had been assaulted and murdered. He specifically alleged that the occurrence was committed by the hotel operator <i>Vinod Rai, Swati Kumari, Pintu Rai</i> and others in furtherance of their common intention. 3. It is contended on behalf of learned counsel representing the petitioner-accused that she is quite innocent, has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR and has falsely been implicated in this case merely on suspicion. Next asserted that earlier no any regular or anticipatory bail petition has been filed or moved on behalf of the petitioner-accused either in this Court or Hon'ble High Court, Patna in connection with the present case. The petitioner-accused has got clean antecedent, except this one and has been in judicial custody since 17.04.2026. Next added that the petitioner-accused has no any concern with the death of the informant's son. Next asserted that no any mark of violence has been found by the doctor on the dead body of the deceased. Further submitted that the petitioner-accused is ready to furnish sureties as required by the Court. Therefore, it is	



In the Court of **PUNEET KUMAR GARG**, Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra
Bail Petition No. 1188 of 2026 (Sonepur P.S. Case No. 383/2026)
Swati Kumari V/s State of Bihar

O R D E R

	prayed that the petitioner-accused may be enlarged on bail. 4. On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor representing the State vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner-accused. 5. Heard both the sides and perused the materials available on record including the case diary. From the same, it appears that the petitioner-accused is specifically named in the FIR. The accusation against the petitioner-accused is of committing the murder of the informant's son. As per the prosecution case, the deceased was last seen alive with the accused persons at the hotel where the occurrence allegedly took place. The informant has specifically alleged the involvement of the petitioner-accused along with the co-accused in causing the death of the deceased. 6. Therefore, considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the seriousness of accusation, and the reasons mentioned herein-above, this Court does not find it a fit case for granting bail to the petitioner-accused at this stage. 7. Accordingly, this Bail Petition, bearing No. 1188 of 2026, of the petitioner-accused, namely, Swati Kumari, is hereby rejected. [Dictated] (Puneet Kumar Garg), Sessions Judge, Saran 02.07.2026	