

In the Court of SMF Alam 1st Addl. Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra.
BP no. 1089/2026 (Rivilganj P.S. case no. 361/2025)
Vishal Singh versus State of Bihar.
O R D E R

24.6.2026

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Addl. P.P. for the State on bail petition of petitioner namely Vishal Singh who is in custody since 25.5.2026 in above referred case registered u/s 351(2) of BNS and 4 & 5 of Explosive Substance Act.

FIR of this case has been registered on the basis of written application of informant namely Neeraj Pratap and his family reside in the village. It is further alleged that his house was attacked with bomb since previous 15 days and on 12.11.2025 at about 10 O'clock of night, this petitioner thrown bombs in the house of the informant. When wife of informant came out of her house, she found that this petitioner along with other accused persons of this case are throwing bombs in the courtyard of the informant. When she raised objection, the petitioner and other given her threatening of dire consequences, if case is filed against them.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is innocent, he has not committed any offence and has been falsely implicated in this case due to suspicion, case has been compromised between the parties and a compromise petition duly signed by both the parties has been filed in the learned court concerned, earlier anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the accused petitioner has been rejected by this court vide ABP no.382/2026 and after that no any regular or anticipatory bail petition has been filed by the petitioner in any court of law, he has got criminal antecedents, he is in custody since 25.5.2026 he is ready to furnish sureties as required by the Court. Therefore, it is prayed that the petitioners may be enlarged on bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer for bail.

Perused the record and on perusal of the same, it is found that allegation against the petitioner is that he has exploded bombs, but the informant has not alleged about injury to any one. FSL report of exploded material is also not available in the case diary. Further more, both the petitioners are close to each other and they have compromised their case and a compromise petition duly signed by both the parties has been filed before the learned court concerned. Further more, the petitioner is languishing in custody since 25.5.2026.

In the Court of SMF Alam 1st Addl. Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra.
BP no. 1089/2026 (Rivilganj P.S. case no. 361/2025)
Vishal Singh versus State of Bihar.
O R D E R

Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, period of custody and also for the reasons discussed above, the petitioner is enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned subject to condition laid down u/s 480(2) of BNS.

(I) the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by I.O. as and when required,

(II) that the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to the I.O. of the case.

(II) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court

(IV) petitioners is directed to co-operate in trial and disposal of the case.

(V) one of the bailor must be the close relative of the petitioner.

(Dictated)

1st Addl. Sessions Judge, Saran.