



In the Court of **Puneet Kumar Garg**, Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 2408 of 2026 (Manjhi P.S. Case No. 245/2026)

Tara Devi & Anr. V/s State of Bihar

ORDER

S.No.	Date	Contents	Remarks
01	20.06.2026	1. Heard learned counsel representing the petitioners-accused as well as learned Public Prosecutor representing the State on anticipatory bail petition of petitioners-accused, namely, <i>i. Tara Devi</i> and <i>ii. Sita Ram Sahani</i>, apprehending their arrest in this Manjhi P.S. Case No. 245/2026, registered under Section 127(2) of the BNS, pending in the Court of learned ACJM- IV, Saran at Chapra. 2. FIR of this case has been registered on the basis of a typed application of the informant, namely, <i>Sushila Devi (victim's mother)</i>, stating that on 08.05.2026, the petitioners-accused along with other accused person enticed and abducted her 16-year-old daughter, namely, <i>Anita Kumari</i>. 3. It is contended on behalf of learned counsel representing the petitioners-accused that they are quite innocent, have not committed any offence as alleged in F.I.R. and have falsely been implicated in this false case due to village politics. Next contended that earlier no ABP or regular bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioners-accused in this Court or Hon'ble High Court, Patna in connection with the present case, and they have got clean antecedent, except this one. Next asserted that the victim girl, namely, <i>Anita Kumari</i>, has been recovered by the Police and her statement u/s 183 of the BNSS has also been recorded in which she has not stated anything against the petitioners-accused. Further submitted that the petitioners-accused are ready to furnish sureties as required by the Court. Therefore, it is prayed that the petitioners-accused may be enlarged on anticipatory bail. 4. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor representing the State vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners-accused. 5. Heard both the sides and perused the relevant materials available on record. From the record, the allegation is that the petitioners-accused along with other accused person enticed and abducted the informant's daughter. However, the victim girl, namely, <i>Anita Kumari</i>, has been recovered by the Police, and her statement u/s 183 of the BNSS has also been recorded wherein she has not made any allegation against the petitioners-accused nor stated anything incriminating against them.	



In the Court of **Puneet Kumar Garg**, Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 2408 of 2026 (Manjhi P.S. Case No. 245/2026)

Tara Devi & Anr. V/s State of Bihar

ORDER

		6. So, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the victim's categorical statement, absence of criminal antecedent, and the reasons mentioned herein-above, this Anticipatory Bail Petition, bearing No. 2408 of 2026, is hereby allowed. In the event of their arrest or surrender within one month from this order, the petitioners-accused, namely, <i>i. Tara Devi and ii. Sita Ram Sahani</i>, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- <u>each with two sureties</u> of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of B.N.S.S., 2023 :- (I) That the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by I.O., as and when required, (II) That the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to the I.O. of the case, (III) That the petitioners shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court, (IV) That the petitioners are directed to co-operate in trial and disposal of the case. [Dictated] (Puneet Kumar Garg), Sessions Judge, Saran 20.06.2026	