

In the Court of **Puneet Kumar Garg**, Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 2400 of 2026 (Mashrakh P.S. Case No. 323/2026)

Sanjay Mahto & Anr. V/s State of Bihar

ORDER

S.No.	Date	Contents	Remarks
01	06.06.2026	1. Heard learned counsel representing the petitioners-accused as well as learned Public Prosecutor representing the State on anticipatory bail petition of petitioners-accused, namely, <i>i. Sanjay Mahto</i> and <i>ii. Sikandar Mahto</i>, apprehending their arrest in this Mashrakh P.S. Case No. 323/2026, registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1) & 329(4) r/w 3(5) of the BNS, pending in the Court of learned ACJM- 7th, Saran at Chapra. 2. FIR of this case has been registered on the basis of a typed application of the informant, namely, <i>Mohammad Kasim Ansari</i>, stating that on 11.05.2026 at about 08:30 P.M., the petitioners-accused along with other accused persons came to his house armed with lathi, danda, farsa and iron rods with an intention to assault him. It is alleged that the petitioner-accused, <i>Sanjay Mahto</i>, assaulted him with an iron daab, causing injury on his head, and the co-accused, <i>Manjay Kumar</i>, assaulted him with an iron rod. Thereafter, he fell down and became unconscious, while the petitioner-accused, <i>Sikandar Mahto</i>, and other accused persons also assaulted him. It has further been alleged that the petitioner-accused, <i>Sikandar Mahto</i> intended to assault his son, <i>Sunny Kumar</i>, came there and thought that the informant hidden his son in his house, due to which the occurrence and quarrel took place. 3. It is contended on behalf of learned counsel representing the petitioners-accused that they are quite innocent and have not committed any offence as alleged in F.I.R. Next contended that earlier no ABP or regular bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioners-accused in this Court or Hon'ble High Court, Patna in connection with the present case, and they have got clean antecedent except this one. Next added that all the allegations levelled against the petitioners-accused are false, baseless and concocted. Next asserted that the present case has been filed due to dirty politics only with a view to harassing the petitioners-accused mentally, physically and economically. Next added that there is case and counter case <i>vide Mashrakh P.S. Case No. 324/2026</i>. Next asserted that both cases have been compromised between the parties, and a compromise petition duly signed by both the parties has also been annexed with this bail petition. Next added that both the parties are neighbours and belong to the same village. Further submitted that the petitioners-accused are ready to furnish sureties as required by the Court. Therefore, it is prayed that the petitioners-accused may be enlarged on anticipatory bail.	

In the Court of **Puneet Kumar Garg**, Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 2400 of 2026 (Mashrakh P.S. Case No. 323/2026)

Sanjay Mahto & Anr. V/s State of Bihar

ORDER

	4. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor representing the State vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners-accused. 5. Heard both the sides and perused the relevant materials available on record. From the same, it appears that the present case is the counter blast to <i>Mashrakh P.S. Case No. 324/2026</i>. It further appears that the dispute between the parties has amicably been resolved and they have entered into compromise, and a compromise petition, duly signed by both the parties, has also been annexed with this bail petition. Good sense has prevailed between both the parties through mutual compromise. Moreover, the co-accused of this case have already been enlarged on regular bail by this Court <i>vide</i> order dated 25.05.2026 passed in BP No. 925/2026. 6. So, considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the compromise between the parties, absence of criminal antecedent, earlier bail granted to the co-accused of this case, and the reasons mentioned herein-above, this Anticipatory Bail Petition, bearing No. 2400 of 2026, is hereby allowed. In the event of their arrest or surrender within one month from the date of this order, the petitioners-accused, namely, <i>i. Sanjay Mahto and ii. Sikandar Mahto</i>, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of B.N.S.S., 2023 :- (I) That the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by I.O., as and when required, (II) That the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to the I.O. of the case, (III) That the petitioners shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court, (IV) That the petitioners are directed to co-operate in trial and disposal of the case. [Dictated] (Puneet Kumar Garg), Sessions Judge, Saran 06.06.2026	