

In the Court of S.M.F. Bari 1st Addl. Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra.
B.P. no. 1012/2025 (Sonepur P.S. case no. 200/2026)
Sunil Kumar versus State of Bihar.
O R D E R

1.7.2026

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Addl. P.P. for the State on bail petition of petitioner namely Sunil Kumar who is in custody since 2.3.2026 in the above referred case registered u/s 64 of BNS.

FIR of this case has been registered on the basis of written application of informant Disha Chaubey that at about 27.2.26 she was at Hajipur railway junction. She sought help from one old man, who agreed to gave her shelter and took her to his house, where she prepared meal and both of them taken meal. At about 11 O'clock of night, the accused petitioner wanted sex with the informant, but on denial by the informant, the accused petitioner forcibly committed rape with her on the point of dagger. Any how, the informant fled from there and came in the police station and made complaint there.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner he is innocent and he has not committed any offence and has been falsely implicated in this case due to suspicion and village politics. No any regular or anticipatory bail petition has been filed by the petitioner in any court of law, he got clean antecedent, he is in custody since 2.3.2026, and he is ready to furnish sureties as required by the Court. Therefore, it is prayed that the petitioner may be enlarged on bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer for bail.

Perused the record and on perusal of the same, it is found that the allegation against the petitioner is that he has committed forcibly rape with the informant. Informant in her statement recorded at para no. 3 of the case diary has fully supported her case. Other witnesses in their statement recorded at para no. 4 and 5 of case diary have also supported the prosecution case. At para no. 39 of the case diary, there is medical report and it is noted that the doctor has found the age of the victim as 24 years. Statement u/s 183 of BNSS of victim has also been recorded in which she has fully supported her case. At para no. 3 of the bail petition it has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has got clean antecedent and he is a Government employee.

In the Court of S.M.F. Bari 1st Addl. Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra.
B.P. no. 1012/2025 (Sonepur P.S. case no. 200/2026)
Sunil Kumar versus State of Bihar.
O R D E R

Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and gravity of accusation, and also for the reasons mentioned above, I am not inclined to enlarge accused petitioner on bail. Hence prayer for bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Dictated)

1st Addl. Sessions Judge, Saran.