

In the Court of **Puneet Kumar Garg**, Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 2284 of 2026 (Parsa P.S. Case No. 172/2026)

Asha Devi V/s State of Bihar

ORDER

S.No.	Date	Contents	Remarks
01	02.06.2026	1. Heard learned counsel representing the petitioner-accused as well as learned Public Prosecutor representing the State on anticipatory bail petition of petitioner-accused, namely, Asha Devi, apprehending her arrest in this Parsa P.S. Case No. 172/2026, registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 308(2), 352 & 351(2) r/w 3(5) of the BNS. 2. FIR of this case has been registered on the basis of a typed application of the informant, namely, Veena Devi, stating that on 04.04.2026, she along with her family members had gone to her agricultural land for harvesting wheat crops. At that time, the petitioner-accused along with her husband Shailesh Rai and son Sarwan Kumar came there and objected to the harvesting. Thereafter, the informant opposed them, upon which the petitioner-accused, her husband and son started abusing, assaulting and threatening to kill her, and further warned her not to plough or cultivate the said land in future. It has also been alleged that the petitioner-accused and his family members are of criminal nature and they intend to forcibly capture the land of the informant. 3. It is contended on behalf of learned counsel representing the petitioner-accused that she is quite innocent and has not committed any offence as alleged in F.I.R. Next contended that earlier no ABP or regular bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioner-accused in this Court or Hon'ble High Court, Patna in connection with the present case. The petitioner-accused has got one criminal antecedent <i>vide Parsa P.S. Case No. 332/2025</i>, except this one. Next contended that the petitioner-accused has falsely been implicated in this case due to civil dispute <i>vide T.S. No. 682/2024</i>, which is pending in the Court of learned Sub-Judge -VIII, Saran. Next added that all sections are bailable in nature except section 308(2) of the BNS, which is not applicable in this case. Next asserted that this case was filed after a delay of one day. Next argued that the co-accused of this case has already been enlarged on bail by this Court <i>vide order dated 20.05.2026 passed in BP No. 907/2026</i>, and the case of this petitioner-accused stands on similar footing. Further submitted that the petitioner-accused is ready to furnish sureties as required by the Court. Therefore, it is prayed that the petitioner-accused may be enlarged on anticipatory bail.	

In the Court of **Puneet Kumar Garg**, Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 2284 of 2026 (Parsa P.S. Case No. 172/2026)

Asha Devi V/s State of Bihar

ORDER

	4. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor representing the State vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner-accused. 5. Heard both the sides and perused the materials available on record. From the same, it appears that the allegations against the petitioner-accused are general and omnibus in nature. It further appears that section 308(2) of the BNS appears to be not made out in this case. It is also noted that the dispute between both the parties appears to be arisen out of a land dispute. Moreover, the co-accused of this case has already been enlarged on bail by this Court <i>vide</i> order dated 20.05.2026 passed in BP No. 907/2026. 6. So, considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of allegations, earlier bail granted to the co-accused of this case, and the reasons mentioned herein-above, this Anticipatory Bail Petition, bearing No. 2284 of 2026, is hereby allowed. In the event of her arrest or surrender within one month from the date of this order, the petitioner-accused, namely, Asha Devi, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- <u>with two sureties</u> of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of B.N.S.S., 2023 :- (I) That the petitioner shall make herself available for interrogation by I.O., as and when required, (II) That the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to the I.O. of the case, (III) That the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court, (IV) That the petitioner is directed to co-operate in trial and disposal of the case. [Dictated] (Puneet Kumar Garg), Sessions Judge, Saran 02.06.2026	