



In the Court of **Puneet Kumar Garg**, Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 1864 of 2026 (Parsa P.S. Case No. 232/2026)

Rakhi Kumari & Ors. V/s State of Bihar

ORDER

S.No.	Date	Contents	Remarks
01	02.07.2026	1. Heard learned counsel representing the petitioners-accused as well as learned Public Prosecutor representing the State on anticipatory bail petition of petitioners-accused, namely, <i>i. Rakhi Kumari, ii. Anish Kumar Singh and iii. Abhinesh Kumar Singh</i>, apprehending their arrest in this Parsa P.S. Case No. 232/2026, registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 132, 118(1), 352 & 351(2) r/w 3(5) of the BNS, pending in the Court of learned ACJM- IX, Saran at Chapra. 2. FIR of this case has been registered on the basis of a typed application of the informant, namely, <i>Om Prakash, S.I., Parsa P.S.</i>, stating that on 24.04.2026, while he was on night patrolling, he received information about a quarrel following a collision between a <i>WagonR</i> and a <i>Dzire</i> cab near <i>High School Chowk, Parsa</i>. On reaching the spot, the petitioners-accused along with other accused persons allegedly abused, assaulted and pushed the informant and other police personnel, caught hold of the informant's uniform collar and obstructed them from discharging their official duties. Subsequently, the accused persons allegedly came to <i>Parsa Police Station</i>, created further nuisance, abused the police staff and again obstructed police work. 3. It is contended on behalf of learned counsel representing the petitioners-accused that they are quite innocent, have not committed any offence as alleged in F.I.R. and have falsely been implicated in this false case due to ill motive. Next contended that earlier no ABP or regular bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioners-accused in this Court or Hon'ble High Court, Patna in connection with the present case, and they have got clean antecedent, except this one. Next asserted that the prosecution story is totally false, baseless and concocted as no such occurrence has ever taken place as alleged in the FIR. Next added that Sections 132 & 118(1) are not applicable in this case while the other sections are bailable in nature. Further submitted that the petitioners-accused are ready to furnish sureties as required by the Court. Therefore, it is prayed that the petitioners-accused may be enlarged on anticipatory bail. 4. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor representing the State vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners-accused. 5. Heard both the sides and perused the relevant materials available on record. From the record, it appears that the prosecution case arises out of an altercation following a road accident, during which the petitioners-accused are alleged to have abused, assaulted and obstructed the informant	



In the Court of **Puneet Kumar Garg**, Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 1864 of 2026 (Parsa P.S. Case No. 232/2026)
Rakhi Kumari & Ors. V/s State of Bihar

ORDER

	and other police personnel in discharge of their official duties. The allegations are general in nature and stem from the same occurrence. Furthermore, the offences alleged are punishable with imprisonment of less than seven years. Moreover, injury reports show that the injuries sustained by the injured <i>prima facie</i> do not support the prosecution case. 6. So, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of allegations, absence of criminal antecedent, and the reasons mentioned herein-above, this Anticipatory Bail Petition, bearing No. 1864 of 2026, is hereby allowed. In the event of their arrest or surrender within one month from this order, the petitioners-accused, namely, <i>i. Rakhi Kumari, ii. Anish Kumar Singh and iii. Abhinesh Kumar Singh</i>, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- <u>each with two sureties</u> of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of B.N.S.S., 2023 :- (I) That the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by I.O., as and when required, (II) That the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to the I.O. of the case, (III) That the petitioners shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court, (IV) That the petitioners are directed to co-operate in trial and disposal of the case. [Dictated] (Puneet Kumar Garg), Sessions Judge, Saran 02.07.2026	