

In the Court of S.M.F. Bari^{1st} Addl. Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra.
ABP no. 1432/2026 (Rivilganj P.S. case no. 175/2026)
Saheb Kumar Mahto & other versus State of Bihar.
O R D E R

23.6.2026

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Addl. P.P. for the State on anticipatory bail petition of petitioners namely 1. Saheb Kr. Mahto and 2. 2. Ajit Kumar and 3. Ankit Kumar who are apprehending their arrest in the above referred case registered u/s 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 74, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of BNS.

FIR of this case has been registered on the basis of fard beyan of informant namely Gyanti Devi and on 15.3.2026 at about 21 O'clock of night, the informant was at her house. All the above named three accused petitioners along with one Awadh Mahto were taking wine at the door of informant, informant stated them not to drink wine there and to go away. On this the accused persons started abusing her, petitioner no. 2 and 3 caught her and petitioner no. 1 and Awadh Mahto started assaulting her with daab due to which she received injuries on her forehead and hand, blood started oozing. Accused persons snatched gold mangalsutra from her and due to assault the informant clothes were torn.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that they are innocent, they have not committed any offence and have been falsely implicated in this case due to suspicion, no any regular or anticipatory bail petition has been filed by the petitioners in any court of law, they have got criminal antecedent except petitioner no. 3, and they are ready to furnish sureties as required by the Court. Therefore, it is prayed that the petitioners may be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Perused the record and on perusal of the same, it is found that the petitioners are named in the FIR with an allegation that on objection of taking wine at the door of the informant, the accused petitioners have assaulted the informant by daab with a view to kill her. At para no. 14 of case diary, statement of four witnesses have been recorded u/s 180 of BNSS in which all the four witnesses have fully supported the prosecution case. It has been reported by the prosecution that the injury report has not been made available by the medical officer as yet. Investigation is afoot in this matter.

In the Court of S.M.F. Bari^{1st} Addl. Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra.
ABP no. 1432/2026 (Rivilganj P.S. case no. 175/2026)
Saheb Kumar Mahto & other versus State of Bihar.
O R D E R

Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, gravity of accusation and also for the reasons mentioned above, I do not think it proper to enlarge accused petitioners on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, anticipatory bail petition of the petitioners is hereby rejected.

(Dictated)


1st Addl. Sessions Judge, Saran.