

In the Court of Mirtunjay Singh, 1st Addl. Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra.
B.P. no. 518/2026(Ekma P.S. case no. 363/2025)
Deepak Kumar alias Billa versus State of Bihar.
O R D E R

24.4.2026

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Addl. P.P. for the State on bail petition of petitioner namely Deepak Kumar alias Billa who is in custody since 21.2.2026 in the above referred case registered u/s 331(4), 305(a) of BNS.

FIR of this case has been registered on the basis of written application of informant Dilip Kumar Singh that it was informed to him by his relation who used to reside at Delhi that theft has been committed in his locked house. The informant went there and found the lock of main door broken and it was told to him that gold and silver jewelries including other valuable articles have been stolen from the house by some unknown miscreants.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is innocent, he has not committed any offence and has been falsely implicated in this case due to suspicion, neither he is named in the FIR nor any thing has been recovered from his possession and his name has been brought in this case on the basis of confessional statement of other accused of this case, no any regular or anticipatory bail petition has been filed by the petitioner in any court of law, he has got one antecedent, he is in custody since 21.1.2026 and he is ready to furnish sureties as required by the Court. Therefore, it is prayed that the petitioner may be enlarged on bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer for bail.

Perused the record and on perusal of the same, it is found that name of the petitioner has been brought in this case on the basis of confessional statement of accused Manu Kumar alias Babua whose confessional statement has been recorded in Ekma P.S. case no. 384/2025 in which he has confessed that he along with this petitioner and one Sonu Kumar Yadav have broken the lock of main door of Dilip Kumar Singh and committed theft in the house. He further stated that all of them distributed stolen goods among them. Except confessional statement of the co-accused, there is no any evidence to show that the petitioner was involved in the occurrence. Further more the petitioner is in custody since 21.2.2026.

Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, period of custody and also for the reasons discussed above, I think it proper to enlarge the accused petitioner on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned subject to condition laid down u/s 480(2) of the BNSS.

(Dictated)

1st Addl. Sessions Judge, Saran.