

In the Court of Mirtunjai Singh 1st Addl. Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra.
B.P. no. 445/2026 (Amnaur P.S. case no.35/2022)
Bittu Kumar versus State of Bihar.
O R D E R

4.4.2026

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Addl. P.P. for the State on bail petition of petitioner namely Bittu Kumar who is in custody since 25.2.2026 in the above referred case registered u/s 414, 420, 468, 120(B)/34 of I.P.C.

FIR has been registered on the basis of typed application of informant Sujit Kumar Chaudhary, SHO of Amnaur P.S. and on 11.2.2022 he along with other police officials were checking vehicles, in the meantime, a motorcycle born two persons tried to flee away from there, but one of them namely Jitendra Mahto was arrested and a stolen motorycle was recovered from his possession. He told the name of this petitioner as the person who managed to flee away from there.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is innocent and he has not committed any offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case due to suspicion, niether the petitioner was arrested from the spot nor any thing has been recovered from his possession and his name has been brought in this case on the basis of confessional statement of accused Jitendra Mahto, no any regular or anticipatory bail petition has been filed by the petitioner in any court of law, petitioner is not named accused in any other criminal case, he is in custody since 25.2.2026, he is ready to furnish sureties as required by the Court. Therefore, it is prayed that the petitioners may be enlarged on bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer for bail.

Perused the record and on perusal of the same, it is found that the allegation against the petitioner is that his name was brought in this case on the basis of confessional statement of othe accused Jitendra Mahto. Nothing was recovered from possession of this petitioner and the accused Jitendra Mahto who was arrested from spot having a stolen motorcycle has been enlarged on bail by the Hon'ble Court vide Cr. Misc. no. 29020/2022 on 30.8.2022 and the case of this petitioner is on better footing as nothing was recovered from his possession or he was also not arrested from spot. At para no. 3 of bail petition, it has been reported that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. Further more the petitioner is in custody since 25.2.2026.

Considering the abov he has made physical relation with the informant for a period of two years oe facts and circumstances of the case and period of custody, and also clean antecedent of the petitioner, I think it proper to enlarge accused petitioner on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the

In the Court of Mirtunjai Singh 1st Addl. Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra.
B.P. no. 445/2026 (Amnaur P.S. case no.35/2022)
Bittu Kumar versus State of Bihar.
O R D E R

like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court concerned subject to condition laid down u/s 480(2) of BNSS.

(Dictated)

1st Addl. Sessions Judge, Saran.