

In the Court of Puneet Kumar Garg, Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra.
ABP no. 942/2026(RPF Muzaffarpur P.S. case no. 265/2026)

Anil Kumar Versus State of Bihar

Order

S.No.	Date	Contents	Remarks
01	10.3.2026	<p>Heard learned counsel for petitioner as well as learned P.P. for the State on anticipatory bail petition of petitioner namely Anil Kumar who is apprehending his arrest in the above referred case registered u/s 160(2) of Railway Act.</p> <p>FIR of this case has been registered on the basis of written application of the informant namely Wagish Kumar Gateman and on 4.2.2026 on the direction he was closing the gate for crossing of train, in the meantime, a driver of Eicher vehicle bearing registration BR 06RA 2217 dashed the boom of gate, due to which the boom became broken and an estimate for loss of Rs. 6,000/- to the Railway has been reported.</p> <p>It is submitted on behalf of learned counsel for petitioner that he is innocent, he has not committed any offence and has been falsely implicated in this case due to suspicion, petitioner is ready to fulfill loss of Railway due to broken property costing Rs. 6,000/-, no any regular or anticipatory bail petition has been filed by the petitioner in any Court of law, petitioner has got clean antecedent, and he is ready to furnish sureties as required by the Court. Therefore, it is prayed that the petitioner may be enlarged on anticipatory bail.</p> <p>The learned P.P. opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.</p> <p>Perused materials available on record and from perusal of the same, it is found that allegation against the petitioner is that he has dashed railway gate due to which Railway has suffered loss of Rs. 6,000/-. At para no. 3 of bail petition it has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that he is not named accused in any other case.</p> <p>Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, I think it proper to enlarge accused petitioner on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, in the event of his arrest or surrender within one month from the date of receipt of this order, petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount</p>	

In the Court of Puneet Kumar Garg, Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra.
ABP no. 942/2026(RPF Muzaffarpur P.S. case no. 265/2026)

Anil Kumar Versus State of Bihar

Order

	<p>each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned subject to condition laid down u/s 482(3) of BNSS with further condition that</p> <p>(I) the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by I.O. as and when required,</p> <p>(II) that the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to the I.O. of the case.</p> <p>(II) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court</p> <p>(IV) petitioner is directed to co-operate in trial and disposal of the case.</p> <p>(V) Bail bond of the petitioner shall be accepted only after receipt of cost of Rs. 6,000/- deposited in the Railway department by the petitioner.</p> <p>[Dictated]</p> <p>(Puneet Kumar Garg) Sessions Judge, Saran.</p>	