

In the Court of **Puneet Kumar Garg**, Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 939 of 2026 (Doriganj P.S. Case No. 75/2026)

Madhaw Kumar & Ors. V/s State of Bihar

ORDER

S.No.	Date	Contents	Remarks
01	10.03.2026	<p>1. Heard learned counsel representing the petitioners-accused as well as learned Public Prosecutor representing the State on anticipatory bail petition of petitioners-accused, namely, <i>i. Madhaw Kumar, ii. Reetu Kumari, iii. Manju Devi and iv. Ramchandra Sah</i>, apprehending their arrest in this Doriganj P.S. Case No. 75/2026, registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109 117(2), 352 and 3(5) of the BNS, pending in the Court of learned ACJM- 8th , Saran at Chapra.</p> <p>2. FIR of this case has been registered on the basis of a written application of the informant, namely, <i>Jitendra Sah</i>, stating that on 12.02.2026 at about 08:00 P.M., the petitioners-accused caught hold the informant when he was going to attend “Tilak ceremony” in his neighbourhood and all of them assaulted the informant due to which his left hand got fractured. When the informant’s wife and son came to rescue, the petitioners-accused assaulted them also due to which they also sustained injuries.</p> <p>3. It is contended on behalf of learned counsel representing the petitioners-accused that they are quite innocent and have not committed any offence as alleged in F.I.R. Next contended that earlier no ABP or regular bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioners-accused in this Court or Hon’ble High Court, Patna in connection with the present case, and they got clean antecedent except this one. Next added that the allegations levelled against the petitioners-accused are totally false, baseless and concocted. Next contended that the ingredients of section 109 of BNS are not attracted in this case and other sections areailable in nature. Further submitted that the case has been compromised between the parties and a compromise petition, duly signed by both the parties, has also been annexed with this bail petition. The petitioners-accused are ready to furnish sureties as required by the Court. Therefore, it is prayed that the petitioners-accused may be enlarged on anticipatory bail.</p> <p>4. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor representing the State vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners-accused.</p> <p>5. Heard both the sides and perused the relevant materials available on record. From the same, it appears that there are general and omnibus allegations against the petitioners-accused, and this is the counter case arising out of the same incident. Furthermore, the dispute between both the parties has amicably been resolved, and they have entered into a compromise, and a</p>	

In the Court of **Puneet Kumar Garg**, Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 939 of 2026 (Doriganj P.S. Case No. 75/2026)

Madhaw Kumar & Ors. V/s State of Bihar

ORDER

	<p>compromise petition, duly signed by both the parties, has also been annexed with this bail petition. Good sense has prevailed between both the parties through mutual compromise.</p> <p>6. So, considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of accusation, absence of criminal antecedent, the compromise between the parties, and the reasons mentioned herein-above, this Anticipatory Bail Petition, bearing No. 939 of 2026, is hereby allowed. In the event of their arrest or surrender within one month from this order, the petitioners-accused, namely, <i>i. Madhaw Kumar, ii. Reetu Kumari, iii. Manju Devi and iv. Ramchandra Sah</i>, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- <u>each with two sureties</u> of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of B.N.S.S., 2023 :-</p> <p>(I) That the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by I.O., as and when required,</p> <p>(II) That the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to the I.O. of the case,</p> <p>(III) That the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court,</p> <p>(IV) That the petitioners are directed to co-operate in trial and disposal of the case.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[Dictated]</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Puneet Kumar Garg), Sessions Judge, Saran 10.03.2026</p>	