

In the Court of **Puneet Kumar Garg**, Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 938 of 2026 (Doriganj P.S. Case No. 72/2026)

Jitendra Sah & Ors. V/s State of Bihar

ORDER

S.No.	Date	Contents	Remarks
01	10.03.2026	<p>1. Heard learned counsel representing the petitioners-accused as well as learned Public Prosecutor representing the State on anticipatory bail petition of petitioners-accused, namely, <i>i. Jitendra Sah</i>, <i>ii. Abhishek Kumar</i> and <i>iii. Lalita Devi</i>, apprehending their arrest in this Doriganj P.S. Case No. 72/2026, registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 110, 303(2), 76, 352 and 3(5) of the BNS, pending in the Court of learned ACJM- 8th, Saran at Chapra.</p> <p>2. FIR of this case has been registered on the basis of a typed application of the informant, namely, <i>Manju Devi</i>, stating that on 12.02.2026 at about 08:00 P.M., she was at her house, at that time, the petitioners-accused came to her house and started abusing her. When the informant opposed, the petitioners-accused, <i>Abhishek Kumar</i> and <i>Lalita Devi</i> allegedly wrapped the informant's dupatta around her neck and pulled it with the intention to kill her. On hearing her shouting, her daughter namely, <i>Reetu Kumari</i>, and her husband, namely, <i>Ramchandra Sah</i>, came to rescue her, in the meantime, petitioner-accused, <i>Jitendra Sah</i>, pulled the informant's hair due to which her clothes got torn and she became naked. Thereafter, petitioner-accused, <i>Jitendra Sah</i>, snatched informant's gold chain and all of them assaulted the informant with danda and fists due to which she sustained injuries.</p> <p>3. It is contended on behalf of learned counsel representing the petitioners-accused that they are quite innocent and have not committed any offence as alleged in F.I.R. Next contended that earlier no ABP or regular bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioners-accused in this Court or Hon'ble High Court, Patna in connection with the present case. Next added that petitioners-accused have one criminal antecedent <i>vide Doriganj P.S. Cae No. 147/2023</i> except this one. Next asserted that the allegations levelled against the petitioners-accused are totally false, baseless and concocted. Next contended that the petitioners-accused have falsely been implicated in this case out of enmity and grudge. Further contended that the ingredients of sections 76 and 110 of BNS are not attracted in this case and other sections areailable in nature. Further submitted that the case has been compromised between the parties and a compromise petition, duly signed by both the parties, has also been annexed with this bail petition. The petitioners-accused are ready to furnish sureties as required by the Court. Therefore, it is prayed that the petitioners-accused may be enlarged on anticipatory bail.</p> <p>4. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor representing the State</p>	

In the Court of **Puneet Kumar Garg**, Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 938 of 2026 (Doriganj P.S. Case No. 72/2026)

Jitendra Sah & Ors. V/s State of Bihar

ORDER

	<p>vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners-accused.</p> <p>5. Heard both the sides and perused the relevant materials available on record. From the same, it appears that there are general and omnibus allegations against the petitioners-accused, and this is the counter case arising out of the same incident. Furthermore, the dispute between both the parties has amicably been resolved, and they have entered into a compromise, and a compromise petition, duly signed by both the parties, has also been annexed with this bail petition. Good sense has prevailed between both the parties through mutual compromise.</p> <p>6. So, considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of accusation, the compromise between the parties, and the reasons mentioned herein-above, this Anticipatory Bail Petition, bearing No. 938 of 2026, is hereby allowed. In the event of their arrest or surrender within one month from this order, the petitioners-accused, namely, <i>i. Jitendra Sah</i>, <i>ii. Abhishek Kumar</i> and <i>iii. Lalita Devi</i>, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of B.N.S.S., 2023 :-</p> <p>(I) That the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by I.O., as and when required,</p> <p>(II) That the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to the I.O. of the case,</p> <p>(III) That the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court,</p> <p>(IV) That the petitioners are directed to co-operate in trial and disposal of the case.</p> <p>[Dictated]</p> <p>(Puneet Kumar Garg), Sessions Judge, Saran 10.03.2026</p>	