

In the Court of **PUNEET KUMAR GARG**, Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra

Bail Petition No. 405 of 2026 (Rivilganj P.S. Case No. 261/2025)

Laxman Kumar Yadav @ Umesh Yadav V/s State of Bihar

ORDER

S.No.	Date	Contents	Remarks
01	25.03.2026	<p>1. Heard learned counsel representing the petitioner-accused as well as learned Public Prosecutor representing the State on bail petition of petitioner-accused, namely, Laxman Kumar Yadav @ Umesh Yadav, who has been in custody since 20.02.2026 in this Rivilganj P.S. Case No. 261/2025, registered under Sections 103(1) & 238 r/w 3(5) of BNS, pending in the Court of <i>Shri Kumar Shashi</i>, learned JMFC, Saran.</p> <p>2. FIR of this case has been registered on the basis of a typed application of the informant, namely, <i>Biresh Kumar Yadav</i>, stating that his deceased sister was married to the petitioner-accused in May, 2019. From the beginning of the marriage, she was subjected to cruelty and harassment for demand of dowry, particularly a Bullet motorcycle, by the petitioner-accused and her in-laws. The deceased had two minor daughters aged about 5 years and 3 years. It is alleged that on the night of 07.08.2025, she was murdered in her matrimonial home by her matrimonial family members and her dead body was disposed of to destroy evidence. On 08.08.2025, the informant received a phone call regarding the murder, and the minor daughters disclosed that their mother was assaulted and killed by their father and other family members including the accused petitioner-accused, and thereafter her body was taken away on a tractor.</p> <p>3. It is contended on behalf of learned counsel representing the petitioner-accused that he is quite innocent and has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. Next asserted that earlier one A.B.P. No. 4188/2025 filed on behalf of this petitioner-accused was rejected by this Court <i>vide</i> order dated 16.12.2025 and except that, no any regular or anticipatory bail petition has been filed or moved on behalf of this petitioner-accused either in this Court or Hon'ble High Court, Patna in connection with the present case. Next added that the petitioner-accused got clean antecedent, except this one and he has been in judicial custody since 20.02.2026. Next added that the informant has wrongly alleged that deceased <i>Kalinda Devi</i> got married to the petitioner-accused in year 2019 rather deceased got married to the petitioner-accused in year 2017. Further contended that no such occurrence as alleged in the FIR has ever taken place, and the real fact is that the deceased was suffering from a stomach ailment, and while she was being taken to the hospital, due to severe stomach pain, she died on the way, and they also informed the parental home of the deceased about her demise, and the family members of the deceased also participated in her funeral.</p>	

In the Court of **PUNEET KUMAR GARG**, Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra

Bail Petition No. 405 of 2026 (Rivilganj P.S. Case No. 261/2025)

Laxman Kumar Yadav @ Umesh Yadav V/s State of Bihar

ORDER

	<p>Further submitted that the petitioner-accused is ready to furnish sureties as required by the Court. Therefore, it is prayed that the petitioner-accused may be enlarged on bail.</p> <p>4. On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor representing the State vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner-accused.</p> <p>5. Heard both the sides and perused the materials available on record. From the same, it appears that the allegations relate to the death of a married woman in her matrimonial home, coupled with allegations of dowry demand and disposal of the dead body. The offence alleged is serious in nature and carries grave consequences. The materials on record indicate that a detailed and fair investigation is still required to ascertain the exact manner and cause of death. Furthermore, earlier, bail applications filed by the petitioner-accused and co-accused of this case have also been rejected by this Court <i>vide</i> order dated 02.02.2026 and 16.12.2025 passed in B.P. No. 110/2026, A.B.P. No. 4188/2025 & A.B.P. 4189/2025 respectively.</p> <p>6. Therefore, considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of accusation, the stage of the case, the grounds taken earlier in the ABP and BP orders rejecting the bail applications of the petitioner-accused and co-accused of this case, and the reasons mentioned herein-above, this Court does not find it a fit case for granting bail to the petitioner-accused at this stage.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, this Bail Petition, bearing No. 405 of 2026, of petitioner-accused, namely, Laxman Kumar Yadav @ Umesh Yadav, is hereby again rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[Dictated]</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Puneet Kumar Garg), Sessions Judge, Saran 25.03.2026</p>	