

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IV, SARAN (CHAPRA)
Regular Bail Petition No.- 353/2026
(Sonepur P.S. Case No.- 830 of 2024)

Vinay Mahto @ Vinay Kumar Mahto.....Petitioner/s
 Versus
 The State of BiharProsecution

Order	
26.03.2026	<p>1. The regular bail petition has been filed on behalf of the accused Petitioner, Vinay Mahto @ Vinay Kumar Mahto, who has been in judicial custody since 12.12.2025 in connection with Sonepur P.S. case No.- 830/2024, registered u/s 103, 3(5) of B.N.S. pending before the learned Court of C.J.M, Saran at Chapra. Copy of the petition has been served to the learned P.P.</p> <p>2. Prosecution case in brief as per written application of the informant Satyendra Mahto is that on 05.10.2024 at about 11:30, there was a dispute going on between the first party Rajan Mahto and second party Vinay Mahto over the PCC road in front of his house over some issue. In this sequence, his father Baliram Mahto tried to pacify both the parties separately. He went to that place to mediate and end the trouble. Meanwhile, Vinay Mahto from the other party pushed his father back by putting his hand around his neck and asked why did you get involved in the fight between them, due to which his father immediately fell down on the PCC road and blood started oozing out from the cut on the back of his head. Meanwhile Vinay jumped up and started kicking and punching his father while he was lying down. From Vinay's house, his father Gauri Mahto and mother Ramawati Devi came with sticks and surrounded informant's father and beat him till he was half dead. By this time, the people around gathered and they picked his father and left for Sonepur referral hospital for treatment but his father died on the way to the hospital.</p> <p>3. The learned counsel on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has committed no offence. That earlier A.B.P. No. 4415/24 on behalf of petitioner was filed which was rejected by the A.D.J.-4th, Chapra. After that Cri. Misc. No. 75087/25 was filed before Hon'ble High Court, Patna which was disposed on 08.12.25. After that, no any bail petition on behalf of the petitioner has either been filed or rejected by any court. It is further submitted that there is no any criminal antecedent of the petitioner. That the petitioner surrendered before the court on 12.12.25 and remanded in custody. It is further submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to village politics and instance of the enemies of the petitioner and story of this case totally false and concocted. That there are three accused persons in this case and out of them, two accused persons are on bail. The petitioner is ready to furnish sureties as to the satisfaction of the learned court and there is no chance of absconding or tempering with prosecution evidence. Hence, he prayed to enlarge the petitioner on bail.</p>

cont.

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IV, SARAN (CHAPRA)
Regular Bail Petition No.- 353/2026
(Sonepur P.S. Case No.- 830 of 2024)

Vinay Mahto @ Vinay Kumar Mahto.....Petitioner/s
Versus
The State of BiharProsecution

continued.. 26.03.2026	<p>4. On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor representing the state vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the accused petitioner.</p> <p>5. Heard learned counsel on behalf of the accused petitioner and Ld. P.P. for the state, perused the record and case diary attached with L.C.R. As per para 40 of the case diary, the accused petitioner has no criminal antecedent. On perusal of F.I.R, It is a serious allegation against the accused petitioner to assault the deceased, Bali Ram Mahto (Informant's father) by <i>lathi</i> and <i>danda</i> with other co-accused persons, who later died in the Hospital during treatment. On perusal of C.D., Para-16, it transpires that the cause of death is due to head injury caused by hard and blunt substance. The accused petitioner has been in judicial custody since 12.12.2025 and investigation is still pending.</p> <p>6. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and gravity of the offence, the court reaches on conclusion that the accused petitioner is not entitled for grant bail. Therefore, the regular bail petition filed on behalf of accused petitioner namely Vinay Mahto @ Vinay Kumar Mahto is hereby rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Dictated and corrected by me) Sd/- (Raghvendra Vikram Singh Parmar) Additional Sessions Judge-IV, Saran 26.03.2026</p>	