

In the Court of **PUNEET KUMAR GARG**, Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 558 of 2026 (Bhagwan Bazar P.S. Case No. 284/2025)

Sona Devi & Anr. V/s State of Bihar

ORDER

S.No.	Date	Contents	Remarks
01	24.03.2026	<p>1. Heard learned counsel representing the petitioners-accused as well as learned Public Prosecutor representing the State on anticipatory bail petition of petitioners-accused, namely, <i>i. Sona Devi and ii. Rani Kumari</i>, apprehending their arrest in this Bhagwan Bazar P.S. Case No. 284/2025, registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2) & 109 r/w 3(5) of the BNS, pending in the Court of learned ACJM- 2nd, Saran at Chapra.</p> <p>2. FIR of this case has been registered on the basis of <i>fard-bayan</i> of the informant, namely, <i>Soni Kumari</i>, stating that on 27.05.2025 at about 05:30 P.M., the petitioners-accused along with other accused persons of this case surrounded the informant. Co-accused, <i>Amit Kumar Rai</i> and <i>Vikesh Kumar Rai</i> assaulted her with iron rod on her head with a view to killing her due to which she became injured and fell down. When <i>Pawan Kumar</i> and <i>Rupa Devi</i> came to rescue her, the accused persons assaulted them with rod and danda. The petitioner-accused, <i>Rani Kumari</i>, cut the informant's head with teeth.</p> <p>3. It is contended on behalf of learned counsel representing the petitioners-accused that they are quite innocent and have not committed any offence as alleged in F.I.R. Next contended that earlier no ABP or regular bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioners-accused in this Court or Hon'ble High Court, Patna in connection with the present case. Next added that the petitioners-accused got clean antecedent except counterblast Bhagwan Bazar P.S. Case No. 186/2025. Next contended that no case u/ss. 126(2), 115(2), 109 and 3(5) of the BNS is made out against the petitioners-accused. Next added that this case is the counterblast to Bhagwan Bazar P.S. Case No. 285/2025 in which the petitioner-accused, <i>Sona Devi</i>, is an informant. Further submitted that all the allegations levelled against the petitioners-accused are false, baseless, fabricated and concocted. The petitioners-accused are ready to furnish sureties as required by the Court. Therefore, it is prayed that the petitioners-accused may be enlarged on anticipatory bail.</p> <p>4. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor representing the State vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners-accused.</p> <p>5. Heard both the sides and perused the relevant materials available on record. From the same, it appears that this case is the counterblast to Bhagwan Bazar P.S. Case No. 285/2025. The petitioners-accused have got clean antecedent except</p>	

In the Court of **PUNEET KUMAR GARG**, Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 558 of 2026 (Bhagwan Bazar P.S. Case No. 284/2025)

Sona Devi & Anr. V/s State of Bihar

ORDER

	<p>counterblast Bhagwan Bazar P.S. Case No. 186/2025. Furthermore, the co-accused of this case has been enlarged on bail by this Court <i>vide</i> order dated 30.06.2025 passed in BP No. 1089/2025.</p> <p>6. So, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, absence of criminal antecedent, the grounds taken earlier in the BP order enlarging the co-accused of this case on bail, and the reasons mentioned herein-above, this Anticipatory Bail Petition, bearing No. 558 of 2026, is hereby allowed. In the event of their arrest or surrender within one month from this order, the petitioners-accused, namely, <i>i. Sona Devi and ii. Rani Kumari</i>, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- <u>each with two sureties</u> of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of B.N.S.S., 2023 :-</p> <p>(I) That the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by I.O., as and when required,</p> <p>(II) That the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to the I.O. of the case,</p> <p>(III) That the petitioners shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court,</p> <p>(IV) That the petitioners are directed to co-operate in trial and disposal of the case.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[Dictated]</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Puneet Kumar Garg), Sessions Judge, Saran 24.03.2026</p>	