

In the Court of Mirtunjai Singh 1st Addl. Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra.
ABP no. 382/2026 (Rivilganj P.S. case no. 361/2025)
Vishal Singh @ Vishal Kumar Singh versus State of Bihar.
O R D E R

23.3.2026

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Addl. P.P. for the State on anticipatory bail petition of petitioner namely Vishal Singh @ Vishal Kumar Singh who is apprehending his arrest in above referred case registered u/s 351(2) of BNS and 4 & 5 of Explosive Substance Act.

FIR of this case has been registered on the basis of written application of informant namely Neeraj Pratap and his family reside in the village. It is further alleged that his house was attacked with bomb since previous 15 days and on 12.11.2025 at about 10 O'clock of night, this petitioner thrown bombs in the house of the informant. When wife of informant came out of her house, she found that this petitioner along with other accused persons of this case are throwing bombs in the courtyard of the informant. When she raised objection, the petitioner and other given her threatening of dire consequences, if case is filed against them.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that he is innocent, he has not committed any offence and has been falsely implicated in this case due to suspicion, no any regular or anticipatory bail petition has been filed by the petitioner in any court of law, he has got criminal antecedents, he is ready to furnish sureties as required by the Court. Therefore, it is prayed that the petitioners may be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Perused the record and on perusal of the same, it is found that instant case has been registered against accused petitioner with direct and specific allegation that he was hurling bomb in the house of the informant with a view to kill the family members of the informant along with some other accused persons of this case. Informant in his statement recorded at para no. 4 of the case diary has supported the prosecution case. Other witnesses in their statements recorded at para nos. 10, 11 and 12 of case diary have also supported the prosecution case. At para no. 31 of case diary, it has been reported by the police that the petitioner is named accused in two other criminal cases. Bomb particles have been sent to the forensic science laboratory for its report and the report is awaited. Investigation is afoot in this matter.

In the Court of Mirtunjai Singh 1st Addl. Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra.
ABP no. 382/2026 (Rivilganj P.S. case no. 361/2025)
Vishal Singh @ Vishal Kumar Singh versus State of Bihar.
O R D E R

Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and gravity of allegation, I do not think it proper to enlarge accused petitioner on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Dictated)

1st Addl. Sessions Judge, Saran.