

In the Court of Mirtunjai Singh 1st Addl. Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra.
A.B.P. no. 290/2026 (Chapra Rail P.S. case no. 258/2025)
Akhilesh Yadav @ Akhilesh Kumar Rai versus State of Bihar.
O R D E R

16.3.2026

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Addl. P.P. for the State on anticipatory bail petition of petitioner namely Akhilesh Yadav @ Akhilesh Kumar Rai who is apprehending his arrest in the above referred case registered u/s 103(1), 70(1), 238 of BNS

Brief case of prosecution is that Jitendra Rai is informant of this case and his daughter used to work as a Nurse in the Hospital of Dr. Pankaj Kumar and she used to stay there. On 26.12.2025 at about 7 O'clock, the informant received a telephone call from the said doctor who inquired that whether Anjali Kumari has come to the house. Later on the said doctor has informed the informant that the dead body of Anjali has been recovered near Chapra junction. It was informed to the informant by the said doctor that on 25.12.2026 he has warned the petitioner and accused Firoz Kausar for some point and after that there was a quarrel between the petitioner and Firoz Kausar who are the staffs of the said hospital. The informant raised doubt that the doctor Pankaj Kumar, this petitioner Akhilesh Yadav and Firoz Kausar have killed his daughter after committing rape with her and thrown her dead body.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner he is innocent and he has not committed any offence and has been falsely implicated in this case due to suspicion, there is no any specific allegation against the petitioner, no any regular or anticipatory bail petition has been filed by the petitioner in any court of law, petitioner has got no criminal antecedent, and he is ready to furnish sureties as required by the Court. Therefore, it is prayed that the petitioner may be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer for bail.

Perused the record and on perusal of the same, it is found that the petitioner is named in the FIR with an allegation that he along with two others have killed the deceased after committing rape with her and thrown her dead body. Informant in his statement recorded at para no. 33 of case diary has supported the prosecution case. Other witnesses at para no. 34, 35, 46, 160, 161, 162, 163 of case diary have also supported the prosecution case. At para no. 45 of case diary there is report of cctv footage which shows that the petitioner was shown in cctv as he has forcibly caught the deceased, the petitioner caught the neck of the deceased and pushed her in a room and locked the shutter of room. At para no. 93 there is confessional statement of co-accused Firoz Kausar who also told that since the accused Firoz Kausar was in chatting term with the deceased, hence the petitioner was annoyed and he used to

In the Court of Mirtunjai Singh 1st Addl. Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra.
A.B.P. no. 290/2026 (Chapra Rail P.S. case no. 258/2025)
Akhilesh Yadav @ Akhilesh Kumar Rai versus State of Bihar.
O R D E R

raise objection. Post mortem report has been conducted in this case and the doctor found some injuries on the dead body of deceased. Later on the doctor has reserved his opinion awaiting FSL report. Investigation is afoot in this matter.

Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, gravity of accusation and for the reasons mentioned above, anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Dictated)

1st Addl. Sessions Judge, Saran.