

In the court of Ist Addl. Sessions Judge, Sheikhpura.
Presiding Officer: Sri Neeraj Kishore
Bail Petition No. 517/2026

Sintu Kumar Vs. State of Bihar

In the court of Ist Addl. Sessions Judge, Sheikhpura.
Presiding Officer: Sri Neeraj Kishore
Bail Petition No. 517/2026

Sintu Kumar Vs. State of Bihar

Arising out of Sheikhpura Mahila P.S Case No. 12/2026

U/s – 64 and 69 of B.N.S.

**Sintu Kumar, aged about 30 years
S/o Krishna Saw
R/o Vill. – Kasar
P.S. – Kasar
Dist.- Sheikhpura**

..... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Bihar

..... O.P

Counsel for the petitioner ----- Md. Anuil Khan, Ld. Advocate
Counsel for the State ----- Sri. Shambhu Sharan Singh, Ld. Addl. P.P

ORDER

01.06.2026 Bail petition dated 08.05.2026, filed on behalf of the accused/petitioner Sintu Kumar who is in custody since 12.04.2026 in connection with Sheikhpura Mahila P.S Case No. 12/2026, under Sections – 64 and 69 of B.N.S. pressed today.

Pressing the bail petition, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that no bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner either before this Sessions Division or before the Hon'ble High Court and the petitioner has no criminal antecedent. It has been further submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. It has been submitted that no offence u/s 64 and 69 of BNS has been committed by the petitioner as the informant admitted that she has married with petitioner and lived with him as husband and wife. It has been submitted that the petitioner is ready to keep the informant as his legally wedded wife. It has also been submitted that the parties have amicably settled their dispute and have compromised the case. Learned counsel has sought to enlarge the petitioner on the privilege of bail.

Sheikhpura Mahila P.S Case No. 12/2026 Dated- 01.06.2026 Addl. S.J.Ist, Sheikhpura

In the court of Ist Addl. Sessions Judge, Sheikhpura.
Presiding Officer: Sri Neeraj Kishore
Bail Petition No. 517/2026
Sintu Kumar Vs. State of Bihar

On the other hand, learned Addl. P.P. has opposed the petition for bail.

L.C.R. has been received, which also contains case diary.

I have heard the submissions and gone through the materials available on the record, it transpires that no other bail petition of any nature is pending on behalf of the petitioner, either before this Sessions Division or before the Hon'ble High Court and petitioner does not have any criminal antecedent as revealed from Para 42 of the case diary. It transpires that the instant FIR has been instituted at the instance of informant Pramila Kumari alleging therein that she was married to Bablu Kumar on 24.04.2021 as per Hindu Rites and Rituals and from the said wedlock, she was blessed with a son and a daughter. It is the case of the prosecution that one Sintu Kumar of village-Kasar where she was married came in her contact and physically exploited her on the pretext of solemnizing marriage with her. It is alleged that on 21.01.2026, the petitioner put sindur and married with her and after marriage, took the informant to Tamil Nadu where he was working. It is further alleged that when she went to Tamil Nadu, her husband made a complaint to P.S. Kasar which directed the petitioner to appear before it. It is further alleged that on receiving the notice, the petitioner along with the informant appeared before the police station and the petitioner took the informant at his house, but the parents of the petitioner did not accept her. It is alleged that a panchayati was convened and at the direction of the Panch, the informant went with her husband. It is alleged that on 11.04.2026 at about 01:00 AM (midnight) the petitioner crossed-over the wall of the house of informant and entered her house and sexually assaulted her. It is alleged that the husband of the informant refused to keep the informant with him and the petitioner also does not want to keep her.

Perusal of the case diary shows that the informant has supported her case in her restatement at Para 3 and the witness at Para 52 has also supported the prosecution case. It appears that the informant in her statement u/s 183 of BNSS has fully supported her case. It appears that the petitioner being aware of the fact that the informant was married with someone else not only lured her, but also entered into sexual relations with her. The petitioner being a Hindu and also being a working person must be aware of the fact that the second marriage with the informant cannot be solemnised during the persistence of the first marriage, still the petitioner solemnised marriage with the informant. It appears that the marriage of the informant with the petitioner was nothing, but an eye wash to sexually exploit the informant. It further transpires that the petitioner if at all married the informant, there was no reason for him not to accept her as his wife. It further transpires that even after refusal to accept the informant as his wife and to keep her, the informant on 11.04.2026 unlawfully entered the house of the informant at midnight and sexually exploited her. It appears that

In the court of Ist Addl. Sessions Judge, Sheikhpura.
Presiding Officer: Sri Neeraj Kishore
Bail Petition No. 517/2026

Sintu Kumar Vs. State of Bihar

the petitioner has now compromised the case with the informant and a compromise petition is also on the record, however, the compromise appears to be nothing, but a ploy to get bail from the Court. The informant is not present to support the averments made in the compromise. The act of the petitioner appears to be very serious in nature and by the acts of the petitioner, a legally wedded lady has been sexually exploited by the petitioner time and again.

Under these circumstances considering the nature of offence and its gravity, I do not deem it fit and proper to enlarge the petitioner Sintu Kumar on the privilege of bail.

Consequently, the instant bail petition dated 08.05.2026 stands **rejected**

Let a copy of this order be transmitted to the Id. Trial Court forthwith.

Dictated

Sd/-

(Neeraj Kishore)
Addl. Sessions Judge- 1st
Sheikhpura

Memo No. Dated 01.06.2026 sent to the Ld. Court of S.D.J.M., Sheikhpura alongwith order dated 01.06.2026 passed in BP No. 517/2026 along with LCR.

Dictated

(Neeraj Kishore)
Addl. Sessions Judge- 1st
Sheikhpura

Date of Judgment /Order	01.06.2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	01.06.2026
Uploading date	02.06.2026
Uploaded by	Md. Reyazul Haque