

In the court of Ist Addl. Sessions Judge, Sheikhpura.
Presiding Officer: Sri Neeraj Kishore
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 136/2026
Ranjit Ram & others Vs. State of Bihar

In the court of Ist Addl. Sessions Judge, Sheikhpura.
Presiding Officer: Sri Neeraj Kishore
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 136/2026
Ranjit Ram & others Vs. State of Bihar

Arising out of Mahuli P.S. Case No. 08/2026
U/s – 126(2), 115(2), 118(2), 109(1), 303(2), 351(2), 3(5) of B.N.S.

- 1. Ranjit Ram aged about 42 years**
S/o Late Kesho Ram
- 2. Navin Ram aged about 40 years**
S/o Late Kesho Ram
- 3. Umesh Mahto aged about 35 years**
All R/o Vill. – Lohan
P.S – Mahuli
Dist.- Sheikhpura

..... **Petitioners**

Vs.

State of Bihar

..... **O.P**

Counsel for the petitioners ----- Sri. Sanjay Kumar, Ld. Advocate
Counsel for the State ----- Sri. Shambhu Sharan Singh, Ld. Addl. P.P

ORDER

06.04.2026 Anticipatory Bail petition dated 28.02.2026, filed on behalf of the accused/ petitioners 1. Ranjit Ram, 2. Navin Ram and 3. Umesh Mahto, who apprehend their arrest in connection with Mahuli P.S Case No. 08/2026, under Sections –126(2), 115(2), 118(2), 109(1), 303(2), 351(2), 3(5) of B.N.S. pressed today.

Pressing the bail petition, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that no bail petition either regular or anticipatory has been filed on behalf of the petitioners either before this sessions division or before the Hon'ble High Court and the petitioners have no criminal antecedents. It has been further submitted that the petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case due to

Mahuli P.S. Case No. 08/2026 Dated- 06.04.2026 Addl. S.J.Ist, Sheikhpura

In the court of Ist Addl. Sessions Judge, Sheikhpura.
Presiding Officer: Sri Neeraj Kishore
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 136/2026
Ranjit Ram & others Vs. State of Bihar

village politics. It has further been submitted that the petitioners have not assaulted and committed any offence against the informant or his brothers. It has further been submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated due to enmity. Ld. counsel has sought to enlarge the petitioners on the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Ld. Addl. P.P. has opposed the bail petition of the petitioners.

Case diary has been received.

I have heard the submissions and gone through the materials available on the record. It transpires that no bail petition either regular or anticipatory has been filed on behalf of the petitioners either before this sessions division or before the Hon'ble High Court and the petitioners do not have any criminal antecedents as revealed from Para 28 of the case diary. It transpires that the instant FIR has been lodged at the instance of informant Manoj Ram alleging therein that on 11.02.2026 at about 04:00 PM his brother Biltu Ram had gone to see his agriculture land and as soon as he reached near the Bail Tree, accused Ranjit Ram, Navin Ram and Umesh Mahto intercepted him and assaulted him. It is alleged that accused Ranjit Ram assaulted Biltu Ram by iron rod at his head causing head injury and accused Navin Ram assaulted Biltu Ram by gransa at his head causing head injury and in the meantime, accused Umesh Mahto assaulted Biltu Ram by lathi at his head. It is also alleged that all the accused also snatched a gold chain and Rs. 2,000/- from the pocket of his brother. It is alleged that on hulla, when informant went to save his brother, the accused chased him also to assault but the informant somehow managed to flee. It is alleged that the informant brought his brother to Sadar Hospital for treatment from where he was referred to Pawapuri for better treatment.

Perusal of the case diary shows that the informant has supported its case in his restatement in Para 4 and the witnesses at Para 5, 10 and 11 have also supported the prosecution case. The independent witness at Para 25 has also supported the prosecution case and has named the petitioners to have assaulted the informant's brother. The injury report of the injured Biltu Ram shows a lacerated wound on frontal region of scalp measuring 2"x 1/2". Perusal of the record shows that the allegation against the petitioners are to have assaulted the injured Biltu Ram by iron rod, gransa and lathi at his head but surprisingly, the Doctor has found only one injury on the head of the injured. A joint compromise petition duly signed by the informant and the injured as well as the petitioners is on the record which has been

In the court of Ist Addl. Sessions Judge, Sheikhpura.
Presiding Officer: Sri Neeraj Kishore
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 136/2026
Ranjit Ram & others Vs. State of Bihar

duly identified by their counsels. From the nature of injury found on the person of the injured it cannot be ascertained as to who assaulted the injured as the allegations of assault is against all the three petitioners. Thus, it appears that the allegations against the petitioners are general and omnibus in nature. The informant and the injured are present in person and support the averments made in the compromise petition.

Under such circumstances, considering the nature of allegations and its gravity as well as compromise entered into by the parties, I deem it fit and proper to enlarge the petitioners 1. Ranjit Ram, 2. Navin Ram and 3. Umesh Mahto, on the privilege of anticipatory bail in the event of their surrender or arrest before the Id. Trial Court within four weeks from the passing of this order on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount subject to the satisfaction of the Id. Trial Court.

The anticipatory bail petition dated 28.02.2026 stands **allowed**.

Dictated

(Neeraj Kishore)
Addl. Sessions Judge- 1st
Sheikhpura

Date of Judgment /Order	06.04.2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	06.04.2026
Uploading date	07.04.2026
Uploaded by	Md. Reyazul Haque