

In the court of Mahesh Kumar, District & Additional Sessions Judge-1st, Sheohar
A.B.P. Case No. 271/2026
Purnahiya P.S. Case No. 92/2026
Vikash Kumar Vs. State of Bihar.

ORDER

29.06.2026

The anticipatory bail petition dated 04.06.2026 has been filed on behalf of the petitioner namely **1. Vikash Kumar**, in connection with Purnahiya P.S. Case No. 92/2026 registered for the offence U/s 319(2), 318(4), 316(2) of B.N.S and the same has been put today for the order.

The prosecution case in brief is that the informant Sita Devi maintained savings bank account with Bank of Baroda, Basantpatti Branch, having a balance of Rs. 5,43,359.72 as on 06.04.2026. It is alleged that the accused Vikash Kumar who is the elder son of the informant dishonestly gained access to her UPI and net banking facilities without her knowledge and consent. Taking advantage of such access he allegedly withdrew a total sum of Rs. 5,43,219/- from the informant's account through multiple online transactions between 17.04.2026 and 06.05.2026. When the informant later visited the bank to withdraw money she discovered that only Rs. 140.72 remained in her account. It is alleged that the accused fraudulently operated the informant's bank account and misappropriated the entire amount for his own benefit, thereby causing wrongful loss to the informant. Accordingly, the F.I.R. has been instituted.

The Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner is innocent and he has committed no offence whatsoever as alleged. It is further submitted that the prosecution case as alleged is false, frivolous, baseless and concocted. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent. It is also submitted that the petitioner is respectable person of the locality and sound sureties are ready to stand his bailors. It is, therefore, prayed that the petitioner be allowed anticipatory bail.

The Ld. Additional P.P. opposed the anticipatory bail

In the court of Mahesh Kumar, District & Additional Sessions Judge-1st, Sheohar
A.B.P. Case No. 271/2026
Purnahiya P.S. Case No. 92/2026
Vikash Kumar Vs. State of Bihar.

application and stated that the petitioner has committed the offences U/s 319(2), 318(4), 316(2) of B.N.S. Therefore, the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner / accused person should be rejected.

Heard both the parties and perused the record.

After perusal of the F.I.R it is clear that the case has been instituted U/s 319(2), 318(4), 316(2) of B.N.S. From perusal of case record, it appears that there is family dispute between the parties. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent. From perusal of case diary para 44 it appears that the benefit of Section- 35 of B.N.S. has been given by the police to the petitioner.

Thus, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case this Court is inclined to admit the petitioner to anticipatory bail. It is therefore ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender before the trial court within four weeks from the date of this order the accused person /petitioner namely, **1. Vikash Kumar**, is directed to be released on bail after furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000 (Ten Thousands) with two sureties with condition that he will comply the conditions mentioned under section 482(2) of B.N.S.S.

(Dictated)

Mahesh Kumar

(Mahesh Kumar)

District & Additional Sessions Judge-1st,
Sheohar
29.06.2026