

1. **Rajesh Paswan S/o Rajnandan Paswan @ Niranjan Paswan Aged about 47 years, R/o Ramnagara , Post- Sirauli, District-Sitamarhi, Bihar.**

..... (Petitioner)

V /s

State Of Bihar.....(Opposite Party)

On behalf of State- Mrs. Tulsi Kumari (Ld. SPP)

On behalf of complainant- Shri Rajni Kumar Verma

On behalf of defense- Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh (Ld. Advocate)

Sl. No	Date of Order	Order with signature	Remarks
.	<u>19-06-2026</u>	<u>Order</u> The anticipatory bail petition of petitioner above named (1) Rajesh Paswan S/o Rajnandan Paswan @ Niranjan Paswan, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No-193/2019 u/s 498(A) of I.P.C. and 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, has been pressed today for hearing. Copy of the petition has already been served to Ld. SPP for the state. Heard Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, Tulsi Kumari (Ld. SPP) on behalf of state, and Shri Rajni Kumar Verma Ld. Counsel on behalf of complainant and perused the case record. The complainant's case in brief is that the complainant's marriage was solemnized with Kamlesh Paswan younger brother of the petitioner on 11.03.2014 at village Kushahar. In the marriage ceremony, the complainant's parents given 1,50,000/- cash, valuable clothes, ornaments of gold and silver as a gift. It is alleged that after a few months of peaceful living all the accused persons named in complaint petition started demanding a buffalo as dowry from the complainant's parents. When the complainant told that their parents did not in condition to fulfill the demand, then all accused persons started assaulting and harassing the complainant physically and	

<u>Continued.</u> <u>19-06-2026</u>	mentally and ousted her from her matrimonial home. Accordingly complaint was lodged. Ld. Counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that no other anticipatory or regular bail application has been filed or pending before this Court as well as before Hon'ble High Court Patna as mentioned in para-3 of the anticipatory bail petition, and the petitioner has no criminal antecedent against him as mentioned in para-2 of the petition. The learned counsel further submitted that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. Petitioner is <i>bhaisur</i> of the complainant. The case has been compromised. There is no chances of absconding of the petitioner. Four accused including husband has been granted anticipatory bail vide ABP no. 190/26 dated 30/04/26 and the petitioner is on better footing. It has lastly been prayed by learned defence counsel to allow the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioner. <i>Per contra</i> Ld. SPP opposed the prayer of the petitioner for anticipatory bail but conceded that case has been compromised. Complainant is also present before court with her Learned counsel and supports the factum of compromise. Heard both sides and perused the case record. On perusal of the case record it appears that after inquiry the learned Judicial Magistrate has found prima facie case u/s 498(A) of IPC and 34 of Dowry Prohibition Act against (1) Kamlesh Paswan, (2) Rajesh Paswan(petitioner), (3) Ramesh Paswan, (4) Mahadevi, (5) Pinki Devi. From perusal of the complaint petition it appears it's a case of matrimonial dispute and the petitioner is <i>bhaisur</i> of the complainant. There is no specific allegations against the petitioner. Case has been compromised and a compromise petition duly signed by both parties has also been filed which is on the record and complainant is present before the court with her Learned Counsel and supports the factum of compromise. Moreover four other accused including husband has been granted anticipatory bail vide ABP no. 190/26 dated 30/04/26 from the Court of District and Additional Sessions Judge-4, Sheohar, and the petitioner is on	
--	--	--

<u>Continued</u> <u>19-06-2026</u>	similar and better footing. Petitioner is having clean antecedent as mentioned in para-02 of the anticipatory bail petition which is supported with an affidavit. Thus, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, and also matter has been amicably settled, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner (1) Rajesh Paswan S/o Rajnandan Paswan @ Niranjan Paswan, to anticipatory bail. It is therefore ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned trial court concerned within four weeks from the date of receipt of this order, the accused/petitioner (1) Rajesh Paswan S/o Rajnandan Paswan @ Niranjan Paswan, is directed to be released on bail after furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000 (Ten Thousands) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the Court concerned, subject to the further condition that:- (i) one of the bailor shall be close relative. (ii) Bailors shall furnished separate declaration/undertaking u/s 486 of BNSS. (iii) The petitioner shall comply the conditions as mentioned under section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. Dictated Sd/- (Sunil Dutt) District & Additional Sessions Judge-III, Sheohar. 19.06.2026	
---	--	--