

In the court of Mahesh Kumar, District & Additional Sessions Judge-1st, Sheohar
A.B.P. Case No. 260/2026
Tariyani Chapra P.S. Case No.14/2026
Md. Harish Vs. State of Bihar.

ORDER

22.06.2026

The anticipatory bail petition dated 02.06.2026 has been filed on behalf of the petitioners namely **1. Md. Harish**, in connection with Tariyani Chapra P.S. Case No. 14/2026 registered for the offence U/s 308(1), 308(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of B.N.S and the same has been put today for the order.

The prosecution case in brief is that the informant Ramanand Rai alleged that accused Jitendra Sahni had been extending threats to him on account of political rivalry and a demand for extortion money. It is alleged that on 04.03.2026 the accused person Md. Harish was making inquiries about the informant near Tajpur Chowk and were openly abusing and threatening him. The informant further alleged that the accused had earlier warned him of dire consequences if their demands were not met. After learning about the incident from local villagers, the informant developed an apprehension that the accused might cause harm to him or get him killed. Accordingly, the F.I.R. has been instituted.

The Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner is innocent and he has committed no offence whatsoever as alleged. It is further submitted that the prosecution case as alleged is false, frivolous, baseless and concocted. It is also submitted that the petitioner is respectable person of the locality and sound sureties are ready to stand his bailors. It is, therefore, prayed that the petitioner be allowed anticipatory bail.

The Ld. Additional P.P. opposed the anticipatory bail application and stated that the petitioner has committed the offences U/s 308(1), 308(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of B.N.S. Therefore, the anticipatory

In the court of Mahesh Kumar, District & Additional Sessions Judge-1st, Sheohar
A.B.P. Case No. 260/2026
Tariyani Chapra P.S. Case No.14/2026
Md. Harish Vs. State of Bihar.

bail application of the petitioner / accused person should be rejected.

Heard both the parties and perused the record.

After perusal of the F.I.R it is clear that the case has been instituted U/s 308(1), 308(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of B.N.S. From perusal of case record, it appears that the no case Under Section 308(1), 308(2) of B.N.S. only vague allegation against the accused person. The allegation appears to be political rivalry between the parties.

Thus, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case this Court is inclined to admit the petitioner to anticipatory bail. It is therefore ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender before the trial court within four weeks from the date of this order the accused person /petitioner namely, **1. Md. Harish**, is directed to be released on bail after furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000 (Ten Thousands) with two sureties with condition that he will comply the conditions mentioned under section 482(2) of B.N.S.S.

(Dictated)

Mahesh Kumar

District & Additional Sessions Judge-1st,
Sheohar
22.06.2026