

In the court of Mahesh Kumar, District & Additional Sessions Judge-1st, Sheohar
A.B.P. Case No. 255/2026
Shyampur Bhatha, P.S. Case No. 112/2026
Parmila Devi Vs. State of Bihar

ORDER

24.06.2026

The anticipatory bail petition dated 01.06.2026 has been filed on behalf of the petitioner namely **1. Parmila Devi**, in connection with Shyampur Bhatha, P.S. Case No. 112/2026 registered for the offence U/s 126(2), 127(2), 118(1), 118(2), 109(1), 121(1), 121(2), 303(2), 351(2), 191(2), 191(3), 190 of B.N.S. and the same has been put today for the order.

The prosecution case in brief, is that on 06.05.2026 upon receiving information regarding a quarrel between two groups at Yogiya Lalghath a police team reached the place of occurrence to maintain law and order and pacify the parties. It is alleged that accused Ram Babu Ram, Sudhir Kumar, Sujit Kumar, Brajesh Kumar, Mintu Kumar, Rama Devi, Ramlochan Ram, Kanchan Devi, Meera Devi, Lakhindra Ram, Pramila Devi, Dilip Kumar, Santosh Ram, Kaushaliya Devi, Sunil Ram, Mejar Ram, Rajiv Kumar, Kodai Ram along with 50-100 unknown persons formed an unlawful assembly and being armed with lathis, dandas, farsa and bricks attacked the police personnel and members of the opposite party. During the occurrence police personnel sustained injuries and the accused persons allegedly obstructed the discharge of official duties. It is further alleged that the accused persons also assaulted Suresh Das and attempted to snatch his belongings. Accordingly, the F.I.R. has been instituted.

The Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner is innocent and she has committed no offence whatsoever as alleged. It is further submitted that the prosecution case as alleged is false, frivolous, baseless and concocted. The petitioner has no criminal

In the court of Mahesh Kumar, District & Additional Sessions Judge-1st, Sheohar
A.B.P. Case No. 255/2026
Shyampur Bhatha, P.S. Case No. 112/2026
Parmila Devi Vs. State of Bihar

antecedent. It is also submitted that the petitioner is respectable person of the locality and sound sureties are ready to stand her bailors. It is, therefore, prayed that the petitioner be allowed anticipatory bail.

The Ld. Additional P.P. opposed the anticipatory bail application and stated that the petitioner has committed the offences U/s 126(2), 127(2), 118(1), 118(2), 109(1), 121(1), 121(2), 303(2), 351(2), 191(2), 191(3), 190 of B.N.S. Therefore, the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner / accused person should be rejected.

After perusal of the F.I.R it is clear that the case has been instituted U/s 126(2), 127(2), 118(1), 118(2), 109(1), 121(1), 121(2), 303(2), 351(2), 191(2), 191(3), 190 of B.N.S. From perusal of case diary, it appears that the injury is simple in nature. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent and the the petitioner is ladies. There is no specific allegation against the petitioner.

Thus, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case this Court is inclined to admit the petitioner to anticipatory bail. It is therefore ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender before the trial court within four weeks from the date of this order the accused person /petitioner namely, **1. Parmila Devi**, is directed to be released on bail after furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000 (Ten Thousands) with two sureties with condition that she will comply the conditions mentioned under section 482(2) of B.N.S.S.

(Dictated)

Mahesh Kumar

(Mahesh Kumar)

District & Additional Sessions Judge-1st,
Sheohar
24.06.2026