

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, IV,
DISTRICT – SHEOHAR**

Present – Aditya Pandey, District & Additional Sessions Judge

Anticipatory Bail Petition (A.B.P.) No. 239 / 2026

(Arising from Tariyani P.S. Case No. 79/2026

U/s. 191(2), 190, 329(2), 126(2), 109(1), 115(2), 118(2) 303(2), 352, 351(2) B.N.S.)

1. Anil Thakur, aged about 30 yrs
2. Subodh Thakur, aged about 35 yrs
3. Shashibhushan Thakur, aged about 30 yrs
4. Chandrabhushan Thakur, aged about 25 yrs
5. Ankit Kumar, aged about 20 yrs
6. Rambabu Thakur, aged about 55 yrs
7. Yogendra Thakur, aged about 60 yrs
8. Dinesh Thakur, aged about 35 yrs
9. Kamlesh Thakur, aged about 50 yrs
10. Pankaj Thakur @ Sahil Raj, aged about 35 yrs

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Bihar.....Opposite Party

Appearance -

For the Petitioners : Sri Dileep Kumar, Advocate

For the State : Sri Arshad Siddiqui, Addl. Public Prosecutor, Sheohar.

For the informant : Sri Manoj Kumar, Advocate.

ORDER

24.06.2026

Heard the learned advocates for the petitioners and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor assisted by learned advocate for informant, at length. Perused the LCR and copy of the supplementary case diary.

This petition for grant of anticipatory bail was filed jointly on behalf of the above-named petitioners who apprehend arrest in connection with Tariyani P.S. Case No. 79/2026 registered on 15.03.2026 under sections 191(2), 190, 126(2), 109(1), 117(2), 303(2), 352, 351(2) of the B.N.S.

The prosecution's case in brief is that on 13.03.2026 at about 6 PM when the informant Suresh Shah was at home, around twenty four persons,

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, IV,
DISTRICT – SHEOHAR**

Present – Aditya Pandey, District & Additional Sessions Judge

A.B.P. No. 239 / 2026

Anil Thakur & Ors. Vs. State of Bihar

ORDER

24.06.2026 including the above named petitioners, all armed with lathi-sticks. iron-rods came to his door and started hurling verbal abuses. It is further stated that Dilip Thakur and Sanjiv Thakur, both struck the informant with iron-rods on head, face, nose, and upper right eye, causing serious injuries while all others beat him up and caused hurt on chest and neck. It is further stated that the informant's brother Shatrughan Sah was struck by Dilip Kumar with iron-rod on head and suffered injuries on head, above right eye and left hand, right shoulder, and right thighs. The informant's uncle Ramchandra Shah was belaboured by Rambabu Thakur and Joginder Thakur with lathi, causing injury near left ear and loss of hearing, in addition to injuries on stomach, chest, left thighs, back and head. The informant's brother Vicky Kumar was beaten up causing injury on left feet. It is further stated that Chandra Bhushan Thakur removed ₹12,000 from the pocket of Shatrughan Shah and also threatened to kill. The four injured persons received treatment at P.H.C. Tariyani and were later referred to K.M.C.H.

It has been averred at the outset in para 2 of the petition that the petitioner has not moved any bail petition either before this Court or Hon'ble High Court, Patna earlier in this case and in para 3 that the petition that the petitioners named at serial no.2, no.6, no.7, no.9 and no.10 have only one criminal case viz. Tariyani P.S. Case No. 82/25 pending against them and others have no criminal antecedent against them. The learned advocate for the petitioners has further argued that the petitioners are innocent and falsely implicated due to village politics. As a matter of fact, the informant and others had brutally assaulted the brother of petitioner no. 02, for which Tariyani P.S. Case No.78/2026 was filed against the informant and others. It is thus prayed that the petitioners may be released on bail.

The learned A.P.P. has opposed the bail petition mainly on the ground that the petitioners are accused of serious and non-bailable offences, including attempt to murder, which punishable with imprisonment for life and with fine.

Now, it appears from perusal of record that the petitioners are named as accused in this case and the allegations have been broadly supported by the

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, IV,
DISTRICT – SHEOHAR**

Present – Aditya Pandey, District & Additional Sessions Judge

A.B.P. No. 239 / 2026

Anil Thakur & Ors. Vs. State of Bihar

ORDER

24.06.2026 informant and four other witnesses in their statements recorded during the investigation. It is transpires from perusal of the injury reports recorded in para 6, 7 and 8 of the supplementary case diary that informant Suresh Sah has suffered injury on head which has been found to be grievous. The second injured victim namely Ramchandra Sah, who has been allegedly assaulted by petitioners Rambabu Thakur and Joginder Thakur, has suffered injury on his ear which has been opined to be of simple nature and caused by hard and blunt substance. The learned advocate for informant has impressed that the injured has suffered injury on ear which is vital part and filed certain documents relating to his recent treatment. However it is not clear if the victim has suffered loss of hearing or that the injury is dangerous to life in any manner. The other injured victim namely, Shatrughan Sah has also suffered simple injury over eye-brow and hand with hard and blunt substance. It is further notable that there is no specific allegation of assault against all the other petitioners. It has been pointed out that the offences, except under section 109(1) and 303(2) B.N.S., are bailable in nature and the allegation of theft has not been specifically supported with evidence. It appears that the genesis of occurrence is in a dispute regarding right of way which had escalated into a full-blown free fight, followed by filing of this case and counter-case viz. Tariyani P.S. Case No.78/2026 between the two sides.

In view of the above facts and circumstances, I am find it to be a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Hence, the prayer is allowed. The petitioners are accordingly ordered to be enlarged on bail in the event of arrest/surrender within **two weeks** of receipt of this order upon furnishing bail bonds of **Rs.10,000** with **two sureties of like amount each** to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court, subject to **filing of undertaking in terms of section 482 (2) B.N.S.S.**

(Dictated & Corrected)

Sd/-

Addl. Sessions Judge, IV
Sheohar