

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE - IV
DISTRICT – SHEOHAR**

Present – Aditya Pandey, District & Additional Sessions Judge

Anticipatory Bail Petition (A.B.P.) No. 190 / 2026
(*Arising out of Criminal Complaint Case No. C1-193/2019*
U/s. 498A I.P.C. & 3, 4 D.P.Act)

1. Kamlesh Paswan
2. Ramesh Paswan
3. Mahadevi @ Mahwa Devi
4. Pinki Devi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar.....Opposite Party

Appearance -

For the Petitioners : Sri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the State : Sri Arshad Siddiqui, Addl. Public Prosecutor, Sheohar.

For the Complainant : Sri Rajani Kumar Verma

ORDER

30.04.2026

The learned advocates for the petitioners and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor along with learned advocate for complainant have been heard at length.

This petition for grant of anticipatory bail was filed on 22.04.2026 on behalf of petitioners who apprehend arrest in connection with Criminal Complaint Case No. C1-193/2019 under section 498A I.P.C. and 3/4 D.P.Act.

The complainant's case in brief is that she was married to the first-named petitioner on 11.03.2014 and lived with him at their matrimonial home. It is alleged that after a few months of peaceful living the petitioners started demanding a buffalo as dowry, and also treated her with physical and mental cruelty, and driven out of her matrimonial home.

It has been averred at the outset in para 2 of the petition that the petitioners have not filed any anticipatory bail petition before this Court or any higher Court, and in para 3 that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent. It

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE - IV
DISTRICT – SHEOHAR**

Present – Aditya Pandey, District & Additional Sessions Judge

**A.B.P. No. 190 / 2026
Kamlesh Paswan & Ors. Vs. State of Bihar**

ORDER

30.04.2026 is further argued and submitted that the petitioners are innocent and falsely implicated as a result of domestic dispute. The offences alleged are matrimonial in nature and that the petitioners undertake to keep the complainant with full dignity and honour. It is therefore, prayed that the petitioners may be granted privilege of anticipatory bail.

The learned Additional Public Prosecutor and the learned advocate for the complainant has opposed the bail petition.

Now, it transpires from perusal of record that the petitioners are the husband and his relatives, and the allegations have been supported by the informant and four other witnesses in their statements as recorded during the inquiry conducted by the learned Magistrate, who has then found a *prima facie* case against the petitioners under section 498A I.P.C. and 3,4 D.P. Act. It further transpires that both the sides have appeared in person before the Court and informed that the dispute has been amicably resolved. The complainant has informed that she is residing with the first-named petitioner, and a joint compromise petition dated – 21.04.26 has been filed in support.

In view of the above facts and circumstances, and also considering that the matter has been amicably settled, the prayer is hereby allowed. The petitioners are accordingly ordered to be enlarged on bail in the event of arrest/surrender within **two weeks** of receipt of this order upon furnishing bail bonds of **Rs.10,000** with **two sureties of like amount each** to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court, subject to the terms of **section 482 (2) B.N.S.S.**

(Dictated & Corrected)

Sd/-

**Addl. Sessions Judge, IV
Sheohar**