

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE - IV
DISTRICT – SHEOHAR**

Present – Aditya Pandey, District & Additional Sessions Judge

Anticipatory Bail Petition (A.B.P.) No. 163 / 2026

(Arising out of Piprahi P.S. Case No. 54/2026

U/s. 126(2), 115(2), 110, 352, 351(3) 351(2), 3(5) of B.N.S.)

1. Bimal Sah @ Bhimal Sah, aged about 67 yrs
2. Dipul Kumar, aged about 25 yrs
3. Ashish Ranjan @ Priya Ranjan Kumar, aged about 26 yrs
4. Raj Karan Kumar, aged about 28 yrs
5. Chitranjan Kumar, aged about 25 yrs

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Bihar.....Opposite Party

Appearance -

For the Petitioners : Sri Manoj Kumar, Advocates

For the State : Sri Arshad Siddiqui, Addl. Public Prosecutor, Sheohar.

ORDER

28.04.2026

The learned advocates for the petitioners and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor have been heard at length. Perused the up to date copy of the case diary.

This petition for grant of anticipatory bail was filed on 08.04.2026 on behalf of Bimal Sah @ Bhimal Sah, Dipul Kumar, Ashish Ranjan @ Priya Ranjan Kumar, Raj Karan Kumar and Chitranjan Kumar, who apprehend arrest in connection with Piprahi P.S. Case No. 54/2026 registered on 05.03.2026 U/s. 126(2), 115(2), 110, 352, 351(3), 351(2), 3(5) B.N.S.

The prosecution's case in brief is that at about 5:30 P.M. on 04.03.2026, the informant Uma Shankar Kunwar was going from his *kirana* shop to Piprahi, he saw 1. Bhimal Sah, 2 Bi. Mahendra Sah, 3. Dipul Kumar, 4. Bidul Kumar, 5. Shatrudhan Sah, 6. Priya Ranjan Kumar, 7. Raj Karan Kumar, 8. Chitranjan Kumar, 9. Pintu Kumar ran sacking his shop and looting

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE - IV
DISTRICT – SHEOHAR**

Present – Aditya Pandey, District & Additional Sessions Judge

A.B.P. No. 163 / 2026

Bimal Sah @ Bhimal Sah & Ors. Vs. State of Bihar

ORDER

28.04.2026 articles from it. It is further alleged that the accused assaulted the informant and his son Dhananjay Kumar with lathi and bamboo and caused injury on his head . It is also alleged that the accused removed gold chain from his son's neck during the assault.

It has been averred at the outset in para 2 of the petition that the petitioners have not moved any anticipatory bail petition before this Court or Hon'ble Patna High Court, and in para 3 that the petitioners, except Bhimal Sah and Ashish Ranjan, have no criminal antecedent. The learned advocate for the petitioners has argued and submitted that the petitioners are innocent and falsely implicated in this case. As a matter of fact, the informant's son had molested Nagina Devi's daughter after which she had lodged Piprahi P.S. Case No. 53/2026 The petitioners have filed a case against the informant's son and that the present case was instituted to put pressure on the petitioners. It is therefore, prayed that the petitioners may be granted privilege of anticipatory bail.

The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has opposed the bail petition.

Now, it transpires from perusal of record that the petitioners are named as accused in this case and the allegations have been supported by the informant and two other witnesses in their statement as recorded during the investigation. However, it appears from the injury reports as recorded in para 22, 23 of the case diary that the informant and his son has suffered minor injuries which are simple in nature, and from para 24 that the case has been found true under Section 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) B.N.S. which are bailable in nature.

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE - IV
DISTRICT - SHEOHAR**

Present – Aditya Pandey, District & Additional Sessions Judge

A.B.P. No. 163 / 2026

Bimal Sah @ Bhimal Sah & Ors. Vs. State of Bihar


ORDER

28.04.2026

In view of the above facts and circumstances, the petition for grant of anticipatory bail is hereby allowed. The petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on bail in the event of arrest/surrender within **two weeks** of receipt of this order upon furnishing bail bonds of **Rs.10,000** with **two sureties of like amount each** to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court, subject to **filing of undertaking in terms of section 482 (2) B.N.S.S.**

Let a copy of this order be sent to the learned trial Court for information and needful.

(Dictated & Corrected)


28/4/26
Addl. Sessions Judge, IV
Sheohar