

1. **Vikram Singh@Vikram Kumar@Vikram Kunwar S/o Shambhu Kunwar Aged about 29 years, R/o Ratanpur, Ward No.-12, P.S.- Piprahi, District-Sheohar, Bihar.**

..... (Petitioner)

V /s

State Of Bihar.....(Opposite Party)

On behalf of State-Md. Arshad Siddique (Ld. APP)

On behalf of defense- Shri Purushottam Kumar (Ld. Advocate)

Sl. No.	Date of Order	Order with signature	Remarks
	30-04-2026	<u>Order</u> The petition of petitioner above named (1) Vikram Singh@Vikram Kumar@Vikram Kunwar S/o Shambhu Kunwar, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Piprahi PS Case No-89/2026 u/s 126(2), 127(2), 121(1), 121(2), 109, 74, 132, 190, 191(1), 191(3) of BNS, has been pressed today for hearing. Copy of the petition has already been served to Ld. APP for the state. Heard Shri Purushottam Kumar, Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Md. Arshad Siddique Ld. APP for the state and perused the case record. The prosecution story as per FIR in brief is that on 20.03.2026, Sub-Inspector Gautam Kumar of Piprahi PS was posted as Officer-in-Charge and was performing official duties at the police station. At about 09:00 O'clock, he received secret information from a reliable source in connection with Piprahi P.S. Case No. 53/26, that the named accused persons, namely Dilip Singh and Raja Singh, were present at the house of Ashok Kumar Singh situated in village Ratanpur along with their associates. On receipt of the said information, the informant duly informed his superior officer and, after making	

Contd. 30-04-2026	necessary station diary entry, proceeded towards the place of occurrence along with a police team and other police personnel in a government vehicle at about 09:15 O'clock for the purpose of arresting the accused persons and taking lawful action. At about 09:30 O'clock, upon reaching near the house of Ashok Kumar Singh, the informant found both the named accused persons present there. When the police party caught them, a mob of about 30–35 persons, all being associates of the accused, assembled there unlawfully and, in furtherance of their common object, started abusing the police personnel in filthy language and obstructed them from discharging their official duties. It is further alleged that the said unlawful assembly, armed with <i>lathi</i>, <i>danda</i> and stones, suddenly launched a violent attack upon the police party with intent to kill, causing injuries to several police personnel. The female constables were also subjected to assault and misbehave. On seeing the arrival of reinforcement, the accused persons and other members of the unlawful assembly fled away from the spot. During the course of the incident, one person, namely Vikki Singh was apprehended at the spot, who disclosed the names of some other co-accused persons involved in the occurrence. On the basis of identification made by him, local chaukidar and other police personnel as well by the arrested accused, the following persons were found involved in the commission of the offence namely, Dhananjay Kunwar, Vikram Kunwar, Shivam Kunwar, Dilip Singh, Golu Singh, Raja Singh s/o Dilip Singh, Ashok Kumar Singh, Shani Singh, Akhilesh Singh, Raja Singh s/o Jai Prakash Singh, Vinay Singh and 20–25 unknown persons. The accused persons, being members of an unlawful assembly and in prosecution of their common object, committed rioting, voluntarily caused hurt to police personnel, obstructed public servants in	
------------------------------	---	--

<u>Continued</u> <u>30/04/2026</u>	discharge of their official duties, used criminal force, facilitated the escape of accused persons from lawful custody, and attempted to snatch government arms. The apprehended accused Vikki Singh was taken into custody following due procedure and forwarded to judicial custody. The injured police personnel were sent for medical examination and treatment at Community Health Centre, Piprahi. Accordingly FIR was lodged. Ld. Counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that no other anticipatory or regular bail application has been filed or pending before this Court as well as before Hon'ble High Court Patna as mentioned in para-2 of the anticipatory bail petition, and the petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para-3 of the anticipatory bail petition. The learned Counsel further submitted that the petitioner is innocent, he has committed no offence whatsoever as alleged and has been falsely implicated in this case due to dirty village politics. The prosecution case is false, fabricated, baseless and concocted, the petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence. There is no specific allegations against the petitioner, there is no chances of absconding of the petitioner and he is ready to comply the conditions and furnish the bail bond as per Order of the Court. It has lastly been prayed by learned defence counsel to allow the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioner. <i>Per contra</i> Ld. APP opposed the prayer of the petitioner for anticipatory bail but conceded that petitioner has clean antecedent. Heard both sides and perused the case record. On perusal of the case record it appears that FIR has been lodged u/s 126(2), 127(2), 121(1), 121(2), 109, 74, 132, 190, 191(1), 191(3) of BNS. From perusal of the case record and	
---	---	--

<u>Continued</u> <u>30/04/26</u>	whole case diary it appears that there is no direct or any specific allegations against the above named petitioner, nor he has been arrested from the spot. There is no material of overt act against the petitioner, he is only alleged to be member of crowd. From perusal of para 131 of the case diary it appears that the injury report of Chhotu Chaudhary, Sharad Prasad, Kamlesh Paswan, Jay Prakash Singh, Sweety Kumari, Rupam Kumari, Raju Kumar are whole body pain and simple in nature. The injury report of Sunny Kumar is abrasion on right leg simple in nature, the injury report of Gautam Kumar is lacerated on left hand simple in nature, and of Naimuddin Ansari is also lacerated on right side of forehead simple in nature. From further perusal of para-62 of the case diary it appears that this petitioner has no criminal antecedent which has also been mentioned in para-03 of his bail petition which is supported with an affidavit. Co-accused Vikki Singh has been granted regular bail on 16/04/26 vide B.P. no.-46/26 by this Court. Thus, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, no specific allegations or any overt act by the petitioner and the petitioner having clean antecedent, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner Vikram Singh@Vikram Kumar@Vikram Kunwar S/o Shambhu Kunwar to anticipatory bail. It is therefore ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within four weeks from the date of receipt of this order the accused/petitioner Vikram Singh@Vikram Kumar@Vikram Kunwar S/o Shambhu Kunwar is directed to be released on bail after furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000 (Ten Thousands) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the Court concerned, subject to the further condition that:-	
-------------------------------------	---	--

<u>Continued</u> <u>30/04/26</u>	(i) one of the bailor shall be either father, mother, brother, sister or any close relative. (ii) the petitioner shall co-operate during investigation and trial and shall be present before court or IO when called for. (iii) the petitioner shall also be present in court physically till framing of charge and if fails to present in court on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason then the learned trial court concerned shall be at liberty to cancel his bail bond. (iv) If the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent then the prosecution shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bond of the petitioner. (v) Bailors shall furnished separate declaration/undertaking u/s 486 of BNSS. (vi) The petitioner shall comply the conditions as mentioned under section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. Dictated Sd/- (Sunil Dutt) District & Additional Sessions Judge-III, Sheohar. 30.04.2026	
---	--	--