

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, IV,
DISTRICT – SHEOHAR**

Present – Aditya Pandey, District & Additional Sessions Judge

Anticipatory Bail Petition (A.B.P.) No. 155 / 2026
(*Arising out of Shyampur Bhataha P.S. Case No. 62/2026*
U/s. 126(2), 115(2), 85, 80, 238, 3(5) of B.N.S.)

1. Munna Singh, aged about 40 yrs
2. Sonu @Sonu Singh, aged about 23 yrs
3. Golu @Golu Kumar, aged about 23 yrs

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Bihar.....Opposite Party

Appearance -

For the Petitioners : Sri Dharmendra Kumar Sri Devashish Kumar,
Advocates

For the State : Sri Arshad Siddiqui, Addl. Public Prosecutor, Sheohar.

For the informant : Sri Amlesh Kumar, Advocate.

ORDER

04.05.2026

The learned advocates for the petitioners and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor assisted by learned advocate for informant have been heard at length. Perused the L.C.R.

This petition for grant of anticipatory bail was filed on 06.04.2026 on behalf of Munna Singh, Sonu @Sonu Singh and Golu @Golu Kumar who apprehend arrest in connection with Shyampur Bhataha P.S. Case No. 62/2026 registered on 13.03.2026 U/s. 126(2), 115(2), 85, 80, 238, 3(5) B.N.S.

The prosecution's case in brief is that at about 8 A.M. on 13.03.2026, the informant Ramesh Kumar received information that his niece Manisha Kumari aged about 26 yrs was beaten to death at her *sasural* in Paharpur by her husband and his relatives, and that they were about to cremate the dead

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, IV,
DISTRICT – SHEOHAR**

Present – Aditya Pandey, District & Additional Sessions Judge

**A.B.P. No. 155 / 2026
Munna Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Bihar**

ORDER

04.05.2026 body. It is further stated that the informant's niece was married to Pintu Singh on 03.12.2023 and after her marriage she was being treated with cruelty by Pintu Singh, 2) Arti Devi, 3) Munna Singh, 4) Sonu, 5) Golu, 6) Poonam and 6) Gudiya upon demand of a four wheeler vehicle in dowry. It is further alleged that when the informant arrived and looked for the dead body, he found it to be in the process of cremation at the banks of river.

It has been averred at the outset in para 2 of the petition that the petitioners have not moved any bail petition before this Court or Higher Court, and in para 3 that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent. The learned advocate for the petitioner has argued and submitted that the petitioner is innocent and falsely implicated in this case only on account of being brothers of the victim's husband. As a matter of fact, the petitioners lived separately from the main accused and pursued their own calling. It is therefore, prayed that the petitioner may be released on bail.

The learned APP has opposed the petition mainly on the ground that there was credible evidence against the petitioners suggesting their involvement in commission of serious and non-bailable offences punishable with imprisonment for a term which is not less than 7 years but which may extend to imprisonment for life.

Now, it transpires from perusal of record that the petitioners are *dewars* of deceased victim and among the named-accused in the F.I.R and the allegations have been supported by informant and other witnesses. As per para

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, IV,
DISTRICT – SHEOHAR**

Present – Aditya Pandey, District & Additional Sessions Judge

**A.B.P. No. 155 / 2026
Munna Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Bihar**

ORDER

04.05.2026 1 of the case diary, the dead body of the victim was notably found in the process of cremation and recovered in partially burnt condition. Although the post-mortem report has not been brought on record so far, the involvement of the petitioners, who are own brothers of the victim's husband, has not been ruled out at this stage, and the case has been found true against the accused persons during the supervision. The investigation remains pending.

In view of the above facts and circumstances, and considering the nature and gravity of offences and severity of punishment, I do not find it to be a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. As such the prayer is **rejected**.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the learned trial Court for information and needful.

(Dictated & Corrected)

Sd/-

Addl. Sessions Judge, IV
Sheohar