

1. **Rajkumari Devi W/o Sushil Paswan, Aged about 50 years,**
2. **Sushil Paswan S/o Sukan Paswan, Aged-about 52 years**
3. **Mohan Paswan S/o Sushil Paswan, Aged about 30 years, R/o Islampur, P.S.- Riga, District-Sitamarhi, Bihar. (Petitioner)**

V /s

State Of Bihar Opposite Party)

On behalf of State-Md. Arshad Siddique (Ld. APP)

On behalf of defense- Shri Suresh Kumar (Ld. Advocate)

Sl. No.	Date of Order	Order with signature	Remarks
	15.05.2026	<u>Order</u> The anticipatory bail petition of petitioners above named (1) Rajkumari Devi w/o Sushil Paswan, (2) Sushil Paswan S/o Sukan paswan, (3) Mohan Paswan S/o Sushil Paswan who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Sheohar Mahila PS Case No-12/2026 u/s 126(2), 115(2), 85, 352, 3(5) of BNS, and section 3/4 D.P. Act has been pressed today for hearing. Copy of the petition has already been served to Ld. APP for the state. Heard Shri Suresh Kumar, Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Md. Arshad Siddique Ld. APP for the state and perused the case record. The prosecution story as per FIR in brief is that informant Rubi Kumari D/o Ekwil Paswan of Vill-Hathisar, PS-Purnahiya, Dist-Sheohar has lodged a written complaint alleging there in that her marriage was solemnized on 18.04.2025 as per Hindu rites and rituals with Karan Kumar S/o Sushil Paswan in her native village. At the time of the wedding ceremony, her parents spent around seven lacs. After the marriage the informant went to her matrimonial home,	

	Contd. <u>15.05.2026</u>	where she lived happily around two to three months. After that above FIR named accused started demanding a milch buffalo and a motorcycle as dowry. When the informant refused and shows her helplessness as her parents spend a lot in her marriage. Then the accused started harassing and ill treatment with her. Meanwhile, on 19-11-2025 at 4 P.M due to not fulfillment of dowry demand they locked her and assaulted her brutally, ousted her from the house and took all her belongings and <i>stridhan</i>. On 16-03-2026 when she with her parents went to her in laws house with the intention to lived there, then in laws members used filthy languages, also assaulted them and finally refused to kept her. Accordingly FIR was lodged. Ld. Counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that no other anticipatory or regular bail application has been filed or pending before this Court as well as before Hon'ble High Court Patna as mentioned in para-2 of the anticipatory bail petition, and the petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para-3 of the anticipatory bail petition. The learned Counsel further submitted that the petitioners are innocent, they have committed no offence whatsoever as alleged and has been falsely implicated in this case. The prosecution case is false, fabricated, baseless and concocted. The petitioners are mother-in-law, father-in-law and <i>bhaisur</i> and have never demanded dowry rather the informant and her parents have mentally harassed Karan Kumar son of petitioner no.1 and have ruined his mental condition. The petitioners belong to a respectable family and are ready to kept informant with due Honour and respect. Petitioners are ready to follow the conditions and furnish bail bond as order of the Court. At last it has been prayed to allow the anticipatory bail of the petitioner. . <i>Per contra</i> Ld. APP opposed the prayer of the	
--	-------------------------------------	---	--

	<u>Continued</u> <u>15/05/2026</u>	petitioner for anticipatory bail. Heard both sides and perused the case record. On perusal of the case record it appears that FIR has been lodged u/s 126(2), 115(2), 85, 352, 3(5) of BNS and 3 and 4 Dowry Prohibition Act 1961. From further perusal of case record it appears that all the sections levelled against the petitioners are bailable except 85 of BNS and ¼ Dowry Act which is general and omnibus in nature. There is matrimonial dispute and petitioners are mother-in-law, father-in-law and <i>bhaisur</i> of the informant. There is no criminal history as mentioned in para 24 of the case diary and petitioners are having clean antecedent has mentioned in para no. 3 of the anticipatory bail petition which is supported with an affidavit. Further from perusal of the original case record of concerned court, it appears that the petitioners are enjoying the benefit under Section 35(3) of the BNSS as mentioned in para 26 of the case diary and the charge sheet has also been submitted against the petitioners on 21-04-2026 and there is no chance of absconding. Thus, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the allegations levelled are general and omnibus, the petitioners having clean antecedent, chargesheet has been submitted, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners (1) Rajkumari Devi w/o Sushil Paswan, (2) Sushil Paswan s/o Sukan Paswan, (3) Mohan Paswan S/o Sushil Paswan to anticipatory bail. It is therefore ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned trial court concerned within four weeks from the date of receipt of this order the accused/petitioners (1) Rajkumari Devi w/o Sushil Paswan, (2) Sushil Paswan s/o Sukan Paswan, (3) Mohan Paswan S/o Sushil Paswan are directed to be released on bail after furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000 (Ten Thousands) with two	
--	---	--	--

	<u>Continued</u> <u>15/05/26</u>	sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the Court concerned, subject to the further condition that:- (i) the petitioners shall co-operate during trial and shall be represented properly on each and every date. (ii) the petitioners shall keep the informant with proper Honour and dignity. (iii) bailors shall furnished separate declaration/undertaking u/s 486 of BNSS. (iv) The petitioner shall comply the conditions as mentioned under section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. Dictated Sd/- (Sunil Dutt) District & Additional Sessions Judge-III, Sheohar. 15.05.2026	
--	---	---	--