

In the court of Mahesh Kumar, District & Additional Sessions Judge-1st, Sheohar
A.B.P. Case No. 144/2026
Sheohar Mahila P.S. Case No. 10/2026
Surendra Sahni and others. Vs. State of Bihar

ORDER

04.05.2026

The anticipatory bail petition dated 01.04.2026 has been filed on behalf of the petitioners namely **1. Surendra Sahni and 2. Dhanmanti Devi @ Dhaniya Devi**, in connection with Sheohar Mahila P.S. Case No. 10/2026 registered for the offence u/s 126(2), 115(2), 85, 82(1), 3(5) of B.N.S. the same has been put today for the order.

The prosecution story in brief is that the the informant Sita Kumari given an application to Sheohar Mahila Police Station and stated that her marriage was solemnized with Raja Mohan Kumar 7 years ago according to Hindu rites and rituals. She gave birth a child. After some time of marriage, petitioner / husband started to talking with Priyanka Devi and he soleminized second marriage with Priyanka Devi. Then all F.I.R. named accused persons started to demand dowry from the informant. When she refused to do so, all the accused persons beaten her. Lastly they drove out the informant from her matrimonial home. Accordingly, the F.I.R. has been registered.

The Learned counsel for petitioners submitted that petitioners are innocent and they have committed no offence whatsoever as alleged. It is submitted that the F.I.R. as alleged is false, frivolous, baseless and concocted. That the petitioners have no criminal antecedent and the petitioners are quite innocent. The petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. Petitioner, Surendra Sahni is father-in-law aged about 59 years & petitioner, Dhanmanti Devi @ Dhaniya Devi, aged about 53 years mother-in-law of the informant. There is no specific allegation against the petitioners. The petitioners are relatives & they deserve anticipatory bail.

The Ld. Special P.P. opposed the anticipatory bail application

In the court of Mahesh Kumar, District & Additional Sessions Judge-1st, Sheohar
A.B.P. Case No. 144/2026
Sheohar Mahila P.S. Case No. 10/2026
Surendra Sahni and others. Vs. State of Bihar

and stated that the petitioners are not innocent and they have committed the offence U/s 126(2), 115(2), 85, 82(1), 3(5) of B.N.S. Therefore, the anticipatory bail application of the petitioners / accused persons should be rejected.

Heard both the parties and perused the record.

After perusal of the F.I.R and Lower Court Record, it is clear that the case has been instituted u/s 126(2), 115(2), 85, 82(1), 3(5) of B.N.S. From perusal of the F.I.R. it appears that the petitioners are the father-in-law, mother-in-law of the informant. There is no specific allegation against this petitioners and the petitioners have no any criminal antecedent.

Thus, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case this Court is inclined to admit the petitioner to anticipatory bail. It is therefore ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender before the trial court within four weeks from the date of this order the accused persons / petitioners namely, **1. Surendra Sahni and 2. Dhanmanti Devi @ Dhaniya Devi**, are directed to be released on bail after furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000 (Ten Thousands) with two sureties with condition that the petitioners will comply the conditions mentioned under section 482(2) of B.N.S.S.

(Dictated)



District & Additional Sessions Judge-1st,
Sheohar
04.05.2026