

In the court of Mahesh Kumar, District & Additional Sessions Judge-1st, Sheohar
A.B.P. Case No. 111/2026
Purnahiya P.S. Case No. 43/2026
Manibhushan Sharma Vs. State of Bihar

ORDER

30.04.2026

The anticipatory bail petition dated 18.03.2026 has been filed on behalf of the petitioner namely **Manibhushan Sharma** connection with Purnahiya P.S. Case No. 43/2026 registered for the offence u/s 126(2), 115(2), 316(2), 318(4), 352, 351(3) of B.N.S. the same has been put today for the order.

The prosecution story as per the FIR is that the informant and accused petitioner were agreed to sell and buy an Alto car bearing Registration No. BR06AZ9699 and for this the informant paid Rs. 1,00,000/- to the petitioner but the petitioner / accused person refused to sell the said car to informant. Later on, the informant has been insulted by the accused person. Hence the case.

The Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has committed no offence whatsoever as alleged. The prosecution case as alleged is false, frivolous, baseless and concocted. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent. The petitioner is respectable persons of the locality and sound sureties are ready to stand his bailors. The informant has not produced any document for payment of money nor he has filed any agreement to sell the said car. It is, therefore, prayed that the petitioner should be allowed anticipatory bail.

The Ld. Special P.P. opposed the anticipatory bail application and stated that the petitioner is not innocent and he has committed the offence U/s 126(2), 115(2), 316(2), 318(4), 352, 351(3) of B.N.S. Therefore, the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner / accused person should be rejected.

In the court of Mahesh Kumar, District & Additional Sessions Judge-1st, Sheohar
A.B.P. Case No. 111/2026
Purnahiya P.S. Case No. 43/2026
Manibhushan Sharma Vs. State of Bihar

Heard both the parties and perused the record.

After perusal of the F.I.R it is clear that the case has been instituted U/s 126(2), 115(2), 316(2), 318(4), 352, 351(3) of B.N.S. From perusal of case record, it appears that the Petitioner has no criminal antecedent. The informant has not produced any document for payment of money nor he has filed any agreement to sell the said car.

Thus, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case this Court is inclined to admit the petitioner to anticipatory bail. It is therefore ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender before the trial court within four weeks from the date of this order the accused person / petitioner namely, **Manibhushan Sharma**, are directed to be released on bail after furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000 (Ten Thousands) with two sureties with condition that he will comply the conditions mentioned under section 482(2) of B.N.S.S.

(Dictated)

Mahesh Kumar

District & Additional Sessions Judge-1st,
Sheohar
30.04.2026