

In the Court of Principal Sessions Judge, Sheohar, Bihar

ABP No.-92/2026

CNR No:-BRSO01-000621-2026

**Ref :- Arising out of Piprahi PS Case No.-228/2025, Dated-12.11.2025, U/sections-137(2),
96, 3(5) of BNS.**

In the matter of :-

1. Rina Devi, W/o Vigan Rai, Aged-about 46 years,
 2. Rajesh Rai @ Rajesh Kumar, S/o Vigan Rai, Aged-about 19 years, both are R/o Village-Dekuli Dharmpur, PS-Piprahi, District- Sheohar ----- **Petitioners**
- Vrs.**

The State of Bihar ----- **Opposite Party**

Learned counsel for the petitioner : Shri Ajit Kumar Tiwari, Advocate.

Learned Public Prosecutor for State : Shri Suresh Roy, Ld. P.P.

19.03.2026:-

ORDER

The petitioners above named are apprehending their arrest in connection with Piprahi PS Case No.-228/2025, Dated-12.11.2025, U/sections-137(2), 96, 3(5) of BNS.

The prosecution case in brief is that on 03.11.2025, at 05:00 AM in the morning Neetu Kumari and Amrita Kumari came to his house and took away his minor daughter namely, Rani Kumari, aged about 11 years with them. When his daughter did not return to home, he searched extensively and questioned Neetu Kumari and Amrita Kumari, then they said that she has returned to her house, but Rani Kumari had not return home. During search, the informant came to know from Ramlakshman Ray that Nitish Kumar and Rajeev Ray have taken her daughter away on a green Apache motorcycle towards Dekuli. Then he along with his father and uncle went to Shivdat Ray's house and inquired whereupon the FIR named accused persons threw them from their house and abused with filthy language and also said that Neetu Kumari, Rajeev Ray and Nitish Kumar have kidnapped his daughter with an intention to marry her.

At para-2 of their anticipatory bail petition it is stated that no anticipatory bail and regular bail petition have been filed except this anticipatory bail petition. At para-4 of their anticipatory bail petition it is stated that petitioners have no criminal antecedent.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the prosecution case as alleged is false, frivolous, baseless and concocted. It is further stated that the petitioners have been implicated in a false case with false allegation, due to dirty village politics. The petitioner no.-1 is a household lady and a mother herself who can never cause such an incident. It is at last submitted that respectable persons of the locality.

The learned public prosecutor opposed the prayer for bail.

Heard the parties and perused the record.

From perusal of the FIR and case diary it appears that the petitioners are FIR named accused. In para-12 the informant's restatement was recorded and he fully supported the prosecution case. In para-13 and 14 of the case diary the witnesses statement is also mentioned and they have fully supported the prosecution case. The allegation against the petitioners is that they conspired with co-accused Nitish Kumar and Rajiv Rai to kidnap the minor daughter of the informant with an intention to marry her. The investigation in the matter is still continuing. The minor girl has yet not been recovered.

Considering the nature and seriousness of allegation against the petitioners and other facts and circumstances discussed above this court is not inclined to admit the petitioners to anticipatory bail petition and hence the petitions so filed on their behalf is hereby **rejected**.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

Principal Sessions Judge

Sheohar

19.03.2026