

In the court of Mahesh Kumar, District & Additional Sessions Judge-1st, Sheohar
A.B.P. Case No. 91/2026
Tariyani P.S. Case No. 65/2024
Sachin Mahto Vs. State of Bihar

ORDER

24.04.2026

The anticipatory bail petition dated 09.03.2026 has been filed on behalf of the petitioner namely **Sachin Kumar Mahto**, in connection with Tariyani P.S. Case No. 65/2024 registered for the offence u/s 341, 323, 498(A), 494, 34 of I.P.C. the same has been put today for the order.

The prosecution story in brief is that the the informant Mina Kumari given an application to Tariyani Police Station and stated that her marriage was solemnized with Sachin Kumar Mahto on 22.04.2016 according to Hindu rites and rituals. In this marriage, her father has given Rs. 3 lakh in cash and furniture. After some time of marriage, all F.I.R. named accused persons started to demand dowry of Rs. Two lakh. When she refused to do so, all the accused persons beaten her and used to say that she has to bring the said money from her father. Lastly they drove out the informant from her matrimonial home on 25.03.2025. Accordingly, the F.I.R. has been registered.

A
The Learned counsel for petitioners submitted that petitioner is innocent and he has committed no offence whatsoever as alleged. It is submitted that the F.I.R. as alleged is false, frivolous, baseless and concocted. That the petitioner has no criminal antecedent and the petitioner is quite innocent. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is ready to keep his wife, but the informant (wife) does not want to live with the petitioner. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case.

The Ld. Additional Special P.P. and learned advocate on behalf of the informant have opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail. Learned counsel for stated that the petitioner is the husband of the informant and he is

In the court of Mahesh Kumar, District & Additional Sessions Judge-1st, Sheohar

A.B.P. Case No. 91/2026

Tariyani P.S. Case No. 65/2024

Sachin Mahto Vs. State of Bihar

not ready to keep his wife. The petitioner not only failed to perform his duty but he also started to demand dowry from the informant and when the informant opposed the demand of petitioner then the petitioner started to torture her and lastly he drove out the informant from her matrimonial house. Hence the bail petition filed by petitioner should be rejected..

Heard both the parties and perused the record.

After perusal of the F.I.R and Lower Court Record, it is clear that the case has been instituted u/s 341, 323, 498(A), 494, 34 of I.P.C. From the perusal of the case record it appears that the petitioner is the husband of the informant and he is ready to keep his wife, but the informant (wife) does not want to live with the petitioner (husband). The petitioner want to keep his wife with full dignity and care. Hectic effort has been made by the Court to settle the dispute but it is informant who does not want to live with her husband. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

Thus, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case this Court is inclined to admit the petitioner to anticipatory bail. It is therefore ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender before the trial court within four weeks from the date of this order the accused person / petitioner namely, **Sachin Kumar Mahto**, is directed to be released on bail after furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000 (Ten Thousands) with two sureties with condition that the petitioner will comply the conditions mentioned under section 482(2) of B.N.S.S.

(Dictated)

Mahesh Kumar

District & Additional Sessions Judge-1st,

Sheohar

24.04.2026