

Cr Rev 299/2024

VINOD KUMAR MALIK Vs. SOBHA THAPAR

27.11.2025

Present: Sh. Rohit Mehla, Ld. Counsel for revisionist.

Ms. Shagun Garg, Id. Counsel for respondent.

Matter is fixed for application under section 5 of Limitation Act.

Adjournment is also sought on behalf of both the parties as both the parties are willing to settle the matter.

On the last date of hearing, similar submission was put by the parties and matter was adjourned by the court. Petitioner was directed to appear today before the court and no exemption shall be allowed. However, petitioner is not again absent today.

Considering the conduct of both the parties, no ground is made out to adjourn the matter but in the interest of justice, **matter is adjourned subject to cost of Rs. 1,000/- each to be deposited in DLSA (New Delhi). Both parties are directed to deposit the cost and file the receipts on next date.**

Put up for settlement, if any/arguments on **10.02.2026.**

(Dhirendra Rana)
ASJ-07/PHC/New Delhi
27.11.2025

At 11:45 AM

At this stage, Ms. Shagun Garg, Id. Counsel for respondent has appeared again and requests that her arguments may be heard today

-2-

itself but same cannot be heard as Ld. Counsel for revisionist has already left the court.

(Dhirendra Rana)
ASJ-07/PHC/New Delhi
27.11.2025