

In The Court of Sri Rohit, SDJM, Shahpur Patori

Complaint case – 291/2025 Sharmila Devi vs. Pintu Thakur and ors.

Date	Order with initials of Magistrate	Remarks
27-07-26	Case called out and record produced before me. The record put up today for order. This complaint case has been filed by Sharmila Devi u/s 191, 115(2), 118, 76, 303(2), 3(5) BNS against (1) Pintu Thakur, (2) Santosh Thakur, (3) Pramod Thakur, (4) Reema Devi and (5) Sumitra Devi. The facts as alleged in the complaint petition in brief is that on 28-12-2024 at around 04:30 PM, complainant was going to Nawada along with her children in an auto then all the above-named persons surrounded her in a deserted place and started beating her. The auto driver ran away. They pulled the complainant towards the road due to which the complainant got disrobed. All of them tried to rape her but she was saved due to the people passing by. Complainant's son who was 4-5 months old was also injured in the incident. The above-named persons are brothers and mother of the complainant's husband who does not give the land of the complainant's share. One case M.R. No. 482/2023 is also pending in the court of Sub-Divisional Magistrate Patori. Before this, the complainant had filed a complaint case no. 308/24 which was dismissed due to lack of proper pairvi. On 05-12-2025 the above-named persons again beat the complainant. They also snatched her gold and silver jewelry and also some cash. They went away threatening the complainant. In support of her allegations the complainant got herself examined on oath on 07-01-2026. In her SA she also stated that her husband was also with her and the accused persons beat him also. Complainant produced three enquiry witness namely EW01-Vinod Thakur, EW02-Saroj Kumar and EW03-Ramnarayan Thakur. EW01 has supported the complainant's case regarding the incident dated 28-12-2024 only. EW02 is the complainant's husband. He did not state anything regarding any of the	
Cont...		

Cont...	accused persons beating him. He also did not state anything regarding snatching of complainant's jewellery or money by any of the accused persons. EW03 is complainant's father. He in his deposition has stated that he along with his wife and daughter were going to Nawada when the accused persons surrounded them at Karimnagar and beat them. Such thing has not been stated by the other enquiry witnesses or even by the complainant nor it has been stated in the complaint petition. No enquiry witness has stated that the complainant's husband was beaten. It appears that every enquiry witness has their own version of the incident. The complaint case appears to be totally false and fabricated. Notice to proposed accused was sent on 20-05-2026 after which they appeared for hearing through their Ld. Counsel. Ld. Counsel on their behalf submitted that the complainant has filed this case only to harass the proposed accused persons due to the ongoing civil dispute between them. The complaint petition bears no merit and is fit to be rejected. Thus, after considering all the facts and circumstances, this court is of the view that there exist no sufficient grounds for proceeding further in this case and therefore, this complaint petition is dismissed u/s 226 BNSS. O/C is directed to consign the record to the record room as per law. SDJM, Shahpur Patori, 27-07-2026	
---------	---	--

Date of order	27-07-2026
Date of reserving order	Nil
Uploading date	
Uploaded by	