

# In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-II

Rosera , District-Samastipur

A.B.P. No. 589-C of 2025

C.I.S. No. 589-C of 2025

(Arising out of C.R. No. 267 of 2025)

## In the matter of

**Ritu Raj .....**.....**Accused/ Petitioner.**

**vs.**

**State of Bihar. .... Prosecution/O.P.**

On behalf of the state/prosecution- Sri Ram Kumar, Ld. APP.

On behalf of the petitioner- Sri Anil Kumar Sharma, Ld. Advocate.\_

On behalf of the Complainant - Sri Ravindra Nath Thakur, Ld. Advocate.

10.06.2026

## ORDER

The present petition has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioner namely **Ritu Raj** in connection with C.R. No. 267 of 2025 under Sections- 85, 76, 64, 62, 115(2), 316(2), 303(2) of BNS.

As per the Complaint Petition, the case of the complainant, in brief is as follows-

The complainant, Kanchan Kumari married with Ritu Raj on 14.04.2010. They have two children. She further stated that her husband is involved in illegal activities, including illicit liquor trade and supplying girls for money. When she opposed, the accused person, including her husband and in-laws, subjected her to cruelty by denying food, medical treatment, and household expenses. Later, her husband filed a divorce case, but the Family Court directed both the parties to live together. Despite this, the accused allegedly assaulted the complainant and her children. He took her jewellery and gifts, and demanded Rs. 2 lakh from her parents. The husband of the complainant, allegedly abandoned her and the children at Singhiya Ghat and told her not to return without money. In the background of aforesaid incident, she filed a complaint case in the Court.

Ld. Counsel for the accused petitioner has submitted that no other bail application filed on behalf of this petitioner either in this court of before the D.A.S.J., Rosera or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna nor it is pending anywhere except this one. There is one criminal case pending against him. The petitioner is innocent and committed no offence. The accused petitioner has not tortured the complainant and also has not demanded any dowry. Petitioner is ready to keep his wife with dignity and honour. The petitioner is ready to abide by the terms and conditions imposed by the learned court. There is no chance of his absconding or tempering with the evidence. Therefore, the bail may be granted to the petitioner.

On the other hand Ld. APP on behalf of state opposed the prayer for bail.

Heard both sides. On perusal of the record, it appears that the instant case

# In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-II

Rosera , District-Samastipur

**A.B.P. No. 589-C of 2025**

**C.I.S. No. 589-C of 2025**

**(Arising out of C.R. No. 267 of 2025)**

Continue  
10.06.2026

has been instituted on the basis of the complaint petition filed by the complainant, Kanchan Kumari. From perusal of the LCR, it reveals that only summon has been issued against the accused petitioner. No warrant has been issued against the petitioner. Therefore, there is no apprehension of arrest by the police to the accused petitioner. The petitioner may surrender and seek regular bail from the court below. The anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner is pre-mature and it is inappropriate to pass any bail order on the merit of the case.

In the light of the aforesaid observation, this anticipatory bail petition stands **disposed of**.

Dictated

(Rajiv Kumar Mishra)  
District & Addl. Sessions Judge -II  
Rosera

**In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-II**

**Rosera , District-Samastipur**

**A.B.P. No. 589-C of 2025**

**C.I.S. No. 589-C of 2025**

**(Arising out of C.R. No. 267 of 2025)**

|  |  |
|--|--|
|  |  |
|--|--|